World Meteorological Organization Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project—Report No. 58



SCIEN A Path Towards More Useful CFC Scenarios **Ozor** John Daniel (NOAA, CSD) and Lucy Carpenter (University of York) CCI 4 CH 3CCI 3 100 ppt HCFC-22 HCFC-141b 150 100 ppt CHAPTER 6 SCENARIOS AND INFORMATION FOR POLICYMAKERS HCFC-142b halon-1211 Lead Authors td 20 L.J. Carpenter J.S. Daniel Coauthors E.L. Fleming halon-1301 CH 3Br T. Hanaoka A.B. Ravishankara td 2 M.N. Ross Approximate Natural Level S. Tilmes T.J. Wallington D.J. Wuebbles Year Year Contributors

World Meteorological Organization Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project—Report No. 58

SCIENTIF



OUTLINE

- **OZONE** 1. Uses of ozone assessment scenarios
 - 2. Main requirements for developing Chapter 6 scenarios
 - 3. Key questions regarding interpreting CFC-11 growth rates
 - 4. Needs for a successful path forward for CFC-11









SCIENTIFIC ASSES **O**ZONE **D**EPLETI



World Meteorological Orga Global Ozone Research and Monitoring I

SCIENTIFIC ASSES





Year	CFC-11	CFC-12	CFC-113	CFC-114	CFC-115	CCI ₄
7	246.2	539.8	77.7	16.3	8.4	91.5
8	244.1	537.6	76.9	16.3	8.4	90.3
9	242.2	535.5	76.1	16.4	8.4	89.1
0	240.4	532.8	75.7	16.3	8.4	87.9
1	238.4	530.2	75.0	16.3	8.4	86.7
2	236.4	527.7	74.4	16.1	8.4	85.5
3	234.4	524.8	73.7	16.1	8.4	84.5
4	232.9	521.9	73.0	16.1	8.4	83.3
5	231.7	519.1	72.4	16.0	8.5	82.3
6	230.3	515.9	71.7	16.0	8.5	81.1
7	229.2	512.6	71.2	16.0	8.5	79.9
8	227.0	507.6	70.4	15.9	8.5	78.8
9	224.8	502.6	69.7	15.8	8.5	77.8
0	222.5	497.7	68.9	15.8	8.5	76.7
1	220.1	492.9	68.2	15.7	8.5	75.6
2	217.6	488.1	67.4	15.6	8.5	74.5
3	215.1	483.3	66.7	15.5	8.5	73.4
4	212.5	478.6	66.0	15.5	8.5	72.3
5	209.9	473.9	65.3	15.4	8.5	71.2
0	196.4	451.2	61.9	15.0	8.5	65.9
5	182.6	429.7	58.6	14.6	8.5	60.7
0	168.9	409.1	55.6	14.2	8.4	55.8
5	155.7	389.5	52.7	13.9	8.4	51.1
0	143.1	370.9	49.9	13.5	8.3	46.7
5	131.2	353.2	47.3	13.2	8.2	42.6
0	120.0	336.3	44.8	12.8	8.2	38.8
5	109.7	320.2	42.5	12.5	8.1	35.2
0	100.1	304.9	40.3	12.2	8.0	31.9
5	91.3	290.3	38.1	11.8	8.0	28.9
0	83.2	276.4	36.1	11.5	7.9	26.1
5	75.7	263.2	34.3	11.2	7.8	23.6
0	68.9	250.6	32.5	10.9	7.7	21.3
5	62.7	238.6	30.8	10.6	7.7	19.2
0	57.0	227.2	29.2	10.4	7.6	17.2

Information Used to Develop Scenarios of Future ODS concentrations in Assessment?

Future production (consistent with Protocol) Bank sizes (bottom-up, recent) Bank release rate (inferred) Global lifetime



From WMO, 2018, Chapter 6 (Figure 6-2)

Information Used to Develop Scenarios of Future ODS concentrations in Assessment?

Future production (consistent with Protocol) Bank sizes (bottom-up, recent) Bank release rate (inferred) Global lifetime



From WMO, 2018, Chapter 6 (Figure 6-2)

LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0106-2



LETTER

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0106-2



CFC-11 Emissions Assumed in WMO, 2018 Scenario





Alternative Scenario: Continue CFC-11 emissions at 67 Gg/yr indefinitely

Delays EESC return by 7, 20 years

No excess emission of CFC-12

From WMO, 2018, Chapter 6 (Figure 6-2)



Alternative Scenario: Continue CFC-11 emissions at 67 Gg/yr indefinitely

Delays EESC return by 7, 20 years



Scenario assumptions determine these values

From WMO, 2018, Chapter 6 (Figure 6-2)

No excess emission of CFC-12

Issue	Potential Cause	Associated Questions	Outlook	
	Dynamical Changes	Consistent with other trace gases? Consistent with reanalyses? Variability vs. trend	No unexpected future emissions Consistent with full MP compliance	
Excess "Emissions"	<section-header></section-header>	<u>What is Wrong?</u> Size of Bank Bank Release Rate Atmospheric Observations	Highly constrained future emissions Consistent with full MP compliance	
	New Production	Immediate Release?	Smaller future commitment to ozone impact Non-compliance with MP Larger future commitment to ozone impact	

(5) which are a consistent of the constraint of

Could Dynamical Changes Have Affected the CFC-11 Growth Rate?



Could Dynamical Changes Have Affected the CFC-11 Growth Rate?



Issue	Potential Cause	Associated Questions	Outlook	
	Dynamical Changes	Consistent with other trace gases? Consistent with reanalyses? Variability vs. trend	No unexpected future emissions Consistent with full MP compliance	
Excess "Emissions"	<section-header></section-header>	<u>What is Wrong?</u> Size of Bank Bank Release Rate Atmospheric Observations	Highly constrained future emissions Consistent with full MP compliance	
		Immediate Release?	Faster response to policy action; smaller impact on ozone Non-compliance with MP Slower response to policy action; larger impact on ozone	

Issue	Potential Cause	Associated Questions	Outlook	
	Dynamical Changes	Consistent with other trace gases?	No unexpected future emissions	
	15 5 6 min 15 5 min 16 min 16 min 17 min 18 min 19 min	Consistent with reanalyses?	Consistent with full	
	Part <th< td=""><td>Variability vs. trend</td><td>MP compliance</td></th<>	Variability vs. trend	MP compliance	
Excess "Emissions"	<section-header></section-header>	<u>What is Wrong?</u> Size of Bank Bank Release Rate Atmospheric Observations	Highly constrained future emissions Consistent with full MP compliance	
	New Production	Immediate Release?	Smaller future commitment to ozone impact Non-compliance with MP Larger future commitment to ozone impact	

Issue	Potential Cause	Associated Questions	Outlook	
	Dynamical Changes of the first of the first	Consistent with other trace gases? Consistent with reanalyses? Variability vs. trend	No unexpected future emissions Consistent with full MP compliance	
Excess "Emissions"	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<u>What is Wrong?</u> Size of Bank Bank Release Rate Atmospheric Observations	Highly constrained future emissions Consistent with full MP compliance	
		Immediate Release?	Smaller future commitment to ozone impact Non-compliance with MP Larger future commitment to ozone impact	



SCIEN A Path Towards More Useful CFC Scenarios Ozor John Daniel (NOAA, CSD) and Lucy Carpenter (University of York)

CONCLUSIONS

120

- 1. Improved confidence in emissions estimated from observations
- 2. Revised bottom-up banks



National (Nationa

- 3. Understanding of how much of the new production is going into atmosphere vs. applications
- 4. Are we confident the emissions and production has been fully identified? Are unexpected emissions coming from multiple areas?5. The CFC-12 question...



2050

2050

CH 3CCI



............