# **Chapter 4: Overview of Ozone and Water Vapour**

#### **Chapter lead authors**

Sean M. Davis	Chemical Sciences Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	USA
Michaela I. Hegglin	Department of Meteorology, University of Reading	United Kingdom
Co-authors		
Rossana Dragani	European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts	United Kingdom
Masatomo Fujiwara	Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University	Japan
Yayoi Harada	Japan Meteorological Agency	Japan
Chiaki Kobayashi	Japan Meteorological Agency	Japan
Craig Long	Climate Prediction Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (retired)	USA
Gloria L. Manney	(1) NorthWest Research Associates (2) Department of Physics, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology	USA
Eric R. Nash	Science Systems and Applications, Inc	USA
Gerald L. Potter	National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center	USA
Susann Tegtmeier	Institute of Space and Atmospheric Studies, University of Saskatchewan previously at: GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Germany	Canada
Tao Wang	National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Jet Propulsion Laboratory	USA
Krzysztof Wargan	(1) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (2) Science Systems and Applications, Inc	USA
Jonathon S. Wright	Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University	China

Abstract. Because of the central role of water vapour (WV) and ozone ( $O_3$ ) in determining local temperatures and winds in NWP systems, and for climate change more generally, it is important to understand how accurately and consistently these species are represented in existing global reanalyses. This chapter presents the results of WV and  $O_3$  intercomparisons over a range of timescales and different regions of the stratosphere, and evaluates both inter-reanalysis and observation-reanalysis differences. Also provided is a systematic documentation of the treatment of WV and O3 in current reanalyses to aid future research and guide the interpretation of differences amongst reanalysis fields.

The assimilation of total column ozone (TCO) observations in newer reanalyses results in realistic representations of TCO in reanalyses except when data coverage is lacking, such as during polar night. The vertical distribution of ozone is also relatively well represented in the stratosphere in reanalyses, particularly given the relatively weak constraints on ozone vertical structure provided by most assimilated observations and the simplistic representations of ozone photochemical processes in most of the reanalysis forecast models. However, significant biases in the vertical distribution of ozone are found in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere in all reanalyses.

In contrast to ozone, reanalysis estimates of stratospheric WV are not directly constrained by assimilated data. Observations of atmospheric humidity are typically used only in the troposphere, below a specified vertical level at or near the tropopause. The fidelity of reanalysis stratospheric WV products is therefore mainly dependent on each reanalysis' representation of the physical drivers that influence stratospheric WV, such as temperatures in the tropical tropopause layer, methane oxidation, and the stratospheric overturning circulation. The lack of assimilated observations and known deficiencies in the representation of stratospheric transport in reanalyses result in much poorer agreement amongst observational and reanalysis estimates of stratospheric WV. Hence, stratospheric WV products from the current generation of reanalyses should generally not be used for scientific data analysis.

Davis et al. (2017) have published a shortened version of this chapter.

### Contents

41	Inti	roduction	123	
4.2	Des	scription of ozone and water vapour in reanalyses	123	
4.	.2.1	NCEP-NCAR (R1) and NCEP-DOE (R2)		
4.	.2.2	CESR		
4.	.2.3	ERA-40		
4.	.2.4	ERA-Interim		
4.	.2.5	ERA5	129	
4.	.2.6	JRA-25 and JRA-55	129	
4.	.2.7	MERRA	129	
4.	.2.8	MERRA-2	130	
4.3	Dat	ta		
4.	.3.1	Reanalysis data processing	131	
4.	.3.2	SBUV and TOMS/OMI total column ozone	131	
4.	.3.3	SPARC Data Initiative limb satellite observations	131	
4.	.3.4	Aura MLS satellite data	132	
4.	.3.5	SWOOSH merged limb satellite data record	132	
4.4	Eva	aluation of reanalysis ozone products	132	
4.	4.1	Total column ozone seasonal cycle	132	
4.	.4.2	Zonal mean ozone cross-sections	134	
4.	.4.3	Ozone monthly mean vertical profiles and seasonal cycles	135	
4.	4.4	Ozone interannual variability	137	
4.	.4.5	Ozone time series in equivalent latitude coordinates	137	
4.	.4.6	Ozone quasi-biennial oscillation	139	
4.	.4.7	Ozone hole area	142	
4.	.4.8	Long-term evolution of ozone	143	
4.5	Eva	luation of reanalysis water vapour products	148	
4.	.5.1	Zonal mean water vapour cross-sections	148	
4.	.5.2	Water vapour monthly mean vertical profiles and seasonal cycles	149	
4.	.5.3	Water vapour interannual variability	149	
4.	.5.4 Tropical tape recorder in water vapour15			
4.6	4.6 Summary153			
4.7	4.7 Key Findings and Recommendations			
Refe	renc	es	157	
Maj	Major abbreviations and terms			

#### 4.1 Introduction

Atmospheric reanalyses produce an optimal estimate of the past state of the atmosphere through the use of a forecast model, input observations, and an assimilation scheme. Meteorological observations assimilated and meteorological quantities analysed include variables such as temperature, wind, geopotential height, and humidity fields. In this chapter, we focus on the reanalysis representation of water vapour and ozone in the upper troposphere to stratosphere.

Ozone and water vapour are trace gases of fundamental importance to the radiative budget of the stratosphere. Because of their impact on stratospheric temperatures, winds, and the circulation (e.g., Dee et al., 2011), ozone and water vapour are represented as prognostic variables in almost all current reanalysis systems. However, the degree of sophistication to which ozone and water vapour fields and their variability are represented depends on the reanalysis system, which observations it assimilates, which microphysical and chemical parameterizations it includes, and how those parameterizations affect the trace gas distributions. The accuracy and consistency of analysis and reanalysis ozone and water vapour fields in the upper troposphere and stratosphere has only been addressed for a limited subset of diagnostics and analysis/reanalysis systems by a few studies (e.g., Jiang et al., 2015; Dessler and Davis, 2010; Thornton et al., 2009; Geer et al., 2006).

Since atmospheric scientists are interested in using ozone and water vapour fields from reanalyses for studying climate variability and change, we conducted the first comprehensive assessment of how realistically and consistently reanalyses represent water vapour and ozone in the upper troposphere and stratosphere. In particular, the goals of this chapter are to (1) provide a comprehensive overview of how ozone and water vapour are treated in reanalyses, (2) evaluate the accuracy of ozone and water vapour in reanalyses against both assimilated and independent (non-assimilated) observations, and (3) provide guidance to the community regarding the proper usage and limitations of reanalysis ozone and water vapour fields in the upper troposphere and stratosphere.

#### 4.2 Description of ozone and water vapour in reanalyses

In this section, we provide information on how ozone and water vapour are represented in reanalyses. The information compiled here expands on that provided by *Fujiwara et al.* (2017) and *Chapter 2*, which contain a comprehensive overview of the reanalysis systems and their assimilated observations, including a basic discussion of the treatment of ozone (*Section 2.2.3.2*) and water vapour (*Section 2.4.3*).

In most reanalyses, ozone and water vapour are prognostic variables that are affected by the assimilated observations (see **Tables 4.1** and **4.2** for an overview of key aspects of these fields). The assimilated observations affecting the water vapour fields in reanalyses include some combination of radiosonde humidity profiles, GNSS-RO bending angles, and either radiances or retrievals from satellite microwave and infrared sounders such as TOVS, ATOVS, and SSM/I (see *Appendix A* for a list of all abbreviations; see also *Sections 2.2.3.2* and *2.4* in *Chapter 2* for an in-depth discussion of observational data affect the reanalysis water vapour fields in the lower atmosphere, but radiosonde humidity data are not assimilated above a specified level in the upper troposphere (typically between 300hPa and 100hPa, see **Table 4.2**).

Reanalysis	Primary TCO data sources	Vertical profile data sources	Stratospheric O <sub>3</sub> used in radiative transfer	Stratospheric O <sub>3</sub> treatment	Photochemical parameterization
NCEP R1	None	None	Climatology	None	None
NCEP R2	None	None	Climatology	None	None
CFSR	SBUV	SBUV	Analysed	Prognostic	CHEM2D-OPP
ERA-40	TOMS	SBUV	Climatology	Prognostic	CD86
ERA-I	TOMS, SCIA- MACHY, OMI	SBUV, GOME, MLS, MIPAS	Same as ERA-40	Same as ERA-40	Same as ERA-40
ERA5	TOMS, OMI, SCIAMACHY	SBUV, MLS GOME, GOME-2, MIPAS	Updated Climatology	Same as ERA-40	Same as ERA-40
JRA-25	TOMS (1979–2004) <sup>a</sup> OMI (2004–)	Nudging to climato- logical profile	Daily values from offline CTM	Daily values from offline CTM	Shibata et al. (2005)
JRA-55	Same as JRA-25	None	Daily values from up- dated offline CTM	Daily values from updated offline CTM	Shibata et al. (2005)
MERRA	SBUV	SBUV	Analysed	Prognostic	Stajner et al. (2008)
MERRA-2	SBUV (1980–9/2004) OMI (9/2004–)	SBUV, MLS	Same as MERRA	Same as MERRA	Same as MERRA

**Table 4.1:** Key characteristics of ozone treatment in reanalyses.

<sup>a</sup> Offline CCM nudged to TOMS/OMI data.

Reanalysis	Assimilation of satellite humid- ity radiances?	Highest level of assimilated WV observations	Highest level of ana- lyzed WV <sup>1</sup>	Stratospheric WV used in radiative transfer	Stratospheric WV treatment	Stratospheric methane oxidation parameterization?
NCEP R1	No	300 hPa	300 hPa	Climatology	None	No
NCEP R2	No	300 hPa	10 hPa (RH only)	Climatology	None	No
CFSR	Yes	250 hPa	None	Analysed; negative values set to 0.1 ppmv	Prognostic	No
ERA-40	Yes	Diagnosed tropo- pause. Radiosonde humidity generally used to 300 hPa	Diagnosed tropopause	Analysed	Prognostic	Yes. Relaxation to 6 ppmv WV at stratopause
ERA-I	Yes	Same as ERA-40	Diagnosed tropopause	Analysed	Prognostic	Yes. Relaxation to 6.8 ppmv WV at stratopause
ERA5	Yes	Same as ERA-40	Diagnosed tropopause	Analysed	Prognostic	Same as ERA-I
JRA-25	Yes	100 hPa	50 hPa	Constant 2.5 ppmv	Prognostic <sup>2</sup>	No
JRA-55	Yes	100 hPa	5 hPa	Climatological annu- al mean from HALOE and UARS MLS dur- ing 1991–1997	Prognostic <sup>2</sup>	No
MERRA	Yes	300 hPa	None	Analysed	3-day relaxation to zonal-mean monthly-mean satellite-based climatology	No
MERRA-2	Yes	300 hPa	None	Same as MERRA	Same as MERRA	No

Table 4.2:	Key characteristics	of water vapour treatment ir	n reanalyses.
------------	---------------------	------------------------------	---------------

<sup>1</sup> Level above which assimilation-related increments are not allowed.

<sup>2</sup> Water vapour not provided above 100 hPa in pressure level analysis products.

Even though radiosonde humidity data may not be assimilated above a certain level, analysis increments are possible at higher levels unless the vertical correlations of the background errors are set to zero. Where relevant, this cut-off level above which analysis increments are disallowed has been noted in **Table 4.2**.

Because stratospheric water vapour data are not directly assimilated, the treatment of water vapour in the stratosphere is highly variable amongst the reanalyses. For the modern reanalyses, the concentration of water vapour entering the stratosphere is typically controlled by transport and dehydration processes occurring in the forecast model, primarily in the tropical tropopause layer (TTL). Higher in the stratosphere, chemical production of water vapour through methane oxidation is parameterised in some reanalyses, while others use a simple relaxation of the simulated water vapour field to an observed climatology.

As with water vapour, the treatment of ozone is quite different from reanalysis to reanalysis. The ozone treatment in reanalyses ranges from omitting prognostic ozone and using a climatology in the radiation calculations (NCEP R1/R2), to using a fully prognostic field with parameterised photochemistry (CFSR, ERA-40, ERA-I, ERA5, MERRA, MERRA-2), to assimilating ozone with an offline chemical transport model for use in the forecast model radiation calculation (JRA-25, JRA-55).

The primary ozone observations assimilated by reanalyses are satellite nadir UV backscatter-based retrievals of vertically integrated total column ozone (TCO) or broad vertically weighted averages (*e.g.*, SBUV data). These data come from a variety of satellites that have flown since the late 1970s, and reanalyses vary widely in what subset of the available data they assimilate (**Figures 4.1** and **4.2**). Some further differences exist amongst the reanalyses in their usage of different data versions from the same satellite instrument, and from different applications of data quality control and filtering. These differences in usage of input data may affect the reanalysis ozone fields.

Additional observation types using spectral ranges outside of the UV (namely microwave and IR) and exploiting different viewing geometries (such as limb-sounding) have been used, particularly by the newest reanalyses (ERA-I, MERRA-2).



Figure 4.1: Total column ozone data by instrument as assimilated by the different reanalyses. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

The assimilation of additional data, particularly higher vertical resolution limb sounding data, are expected to improve the quality of the ozone in reanalyses. However, the assimilation of new data sets could introduce sudden changes in the reanalysis ozone fields, and these transition times should be considered carefully when deriving or analysing long-term trends.

#### 4.2.1 NCEP-NCAR (R1) and NCEP-DOE (R2)

Neither NCEP-NCAR (*R1*) nor NCEP-DOE (*R2*) assimilates ozone data (*Kanamitsu et al.*, 2002; *Kistler et al.*, 2001; *Kalnay et al.*, 1996). A climatology of ozone was used for radiation calculations.



*Figure 4.2:* Ozone vertical profile observations by instrument as assimilated by the different reanalyses. These include higher vertical resolution limb sounders (MLS and MIPAS) and lower resolution nadir sounders (all others). Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

Humidity information from radiosondes is assimilated in R1 and R2, but humidity information from satellites is not (Ebisuzaki and Zhang, 2011). In general, the treatment of water vapour is similar in R1 and R2, with a few notable differences. One major difference is that humidity is not output above 300 hPa in R1, whereas it is output up to 10 hPa in R2. Another difference is that only relative humidity is output in R2, whereas in R1 both specific humidity and relative humidity are output. It is worth noting that in R1, specific humidity is a diagnostics variable, computed from relative humidity and temperature. Several fixes and changes were made in the treatment of clouds in R2, and these result in R2 being ~20% drier than R1 in the tropics at 300 hPa (Kanamitsu et al., 2002). As the focus here is on upper levels, we do not assess humidity fields from R1 or R2. It is worth noting that R1 shows negative long-term humidity trends between 500hPa and 300hPa (Paltridge et al., 2009); however, these negative trends appear to reflect suspect radiosonde measurements at these levels and are not found in other reanalyses or satellite data (Dessler and Davis, 2010).

#### 4.2.2 CFSR

The Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) is a newer NCEP product following the NCEP R1 and R2 reanalyses but with numerous improvements (*Saha et al.*, 2010), including an updated forecast model and data assimilation system. CFSR was originally provided through the end of 2009, but output from the same analysis system was extended through the end of 2010 before transitioning to the CFSv2 analysis system starting in January 2011 (*Saha et al.*, 2014). Because CFSv2 was intended as a continuation of CFSR, in this chapter we refer to both CFSR (*i.e.*, CFSRv1) and CFSv2 as CFSR. However, the system changeover did result in a discontinuity in the water vapour fields that is addressed later in this chapter.

CFSR treats ozone as a prognostic variable that is analysed and transported by the forecast model. The CFSR forecast model uses analysed ozone data for radiation calculations.

-- Early online release -

In the forecast model, ozone chemistry is parameterised using production and loss terms generated by the NRL CHEM2D-OPP (*McCormack et al.*, 2006). These production and loss rates are provided as monthly mean zonal means, and are a function of local ozone concentration. The rates do not include the coefficients for temperature and overhead ozone column provided by *McCormack et al.* (2006), nor heterogeneous chemistry, although late 20th century levels of CFCs are used indirectly because CHEM2D-OPP is based on the CHEM2D middle atmospheric photochemical transport model, which includes ODS levels representative of the late twentieth century.

CFSR assimilates version-8 SBUV profile and TCO retrievals (Flynn et al., 2009) from Nimbus-7 and SBUV/2 profiles and TCO retrievals from NOAA-9, -11, -14, -16, -17, -18, and eventually NOAA-19 (Figures 4.1 and 4.2). The ozone layer and TCO values assimilated by CFSR have not been adjusted to account for biases from one satellite to the next, although the use of SBUV version 8 is expected to minimize satellite-to-satellite differences. Despite the fact that CFSR assimilates TCO retrievals and SBUV ozone profiles, differences have been found between CFSR and SBUV(/2) ozone profile data (Saha et al., 2010). Most of these differences are located above 10 hPa, and appear to result from observational background errors that were set too high in the CFSR upper stratosphere by between a factor of 2 (at 10 hPa) and a factor of 60 (at 0.2 hPa). Because of this, assimilated SBUV(/2) ozone layer observations do not alter the CFSR first guess for pressures less than 10hPa, and the model first guess is used instead. The observational background errors were fixed for CFSv2, starting in 2011.

Water vapour is treated prognostically in CFSR. There are several assimilated observation types that influence the analysis humidity fields in the troposphere, including GNSS-RO bending angles, radiosondes, and satellite radiances. However, as radiosonde humidity data is only assimilated at 250 hPa and greater pressures, there are no specific observations that constrain humidity in the stratosphere. Stratospheric humidity in CFSR is hence primarily governed by physical processes and parameterizations in the model, including dehydration within the TTL. The treatment of water vapour in the model can lead to negative water vapour values around and above the tropopause. These negative values are replaced by small positive values of 0.1 parts per million by volume (ppmv) for the radiation calculations, but are retained in the analysis products. CFSR does not include a parameterization of methane oxidation.

#### 4.2.3 ERA-40

The ERA-40 forecast model included prognostic ozone and a parameterization of photochemical sources and sinks of ozone, as described by *Dethof and Hólm* (2004). This parameterization of ozone production/loss rates is an updated version of the one proposed by *Cariolle and Deque* 

(1986, hereinafter CD86). In CD86, the net ozone production rate is parameterised as a function of the perturbation (relative to climatology) of the local ozone concentration, the local temperature, and the column ozone overhead. Compared to the CD86 formulation, the ozone parameterization in ERA-40 includes an additional term representing heterogeneous chemistry. This loss term scales with the product of the local ozone concentration and the square of the equivalent chlorine concentration, and is only turned on at temperatures below 195 K. The climatologies and coefficients used in the parameterization are derived from a photochemical model and vary by latitude, pressure, and month. The prescribed chlorine loading varies from year to year, from ~700 parts per trillion (ppt) in 1950 to ~ 3400 ppt in the 1990s. Instead of the CD86 ozone photochemical equilibrium values, ERA-40 made use of the Fortuin and Langematz (1995) ozone climatology.

The prognostic ozone was not used in the radiation calculations, which instead assumed the climatological ozone distribution reported by *Fortuin and Langematz* (1995). This choice was motivated by concerns that ozone-temperature feedbacks would degrade the temperature analysis if the assimilated ozone observations were of poorer quality than the temperature observations (*Dethof and Hólm*, 2004).

ERA-40 assimilated TOMS TCO and SBUV layer ozone retrievals from the end of 1978 onward (Figures 4.1 and 4.2; See also Table 1, Dethof and Hólm, 2004; Poli, 2010). No ozonesonde measurements were assimilated, and no ozone data at all were assimilated before 1978. Ozone data prior to 1978 are thus primarily products of the photochemical parameterization. In addition, no ozone data were assimilated during 1989 and 1990 because the execution of the first ERA-40 stream (1989 - 2002, see discussion in Chapter 2) was started before the ozone assimilation scheme was implemented. Ozone background error covariances were also changed, such that the period January 1991 to October 1996 used an earlier and inferior background error covariance matrix than the rest of ERA-40 (see discussion in Dethof and Hólm, 2004). As a result, there are fewer problems with the ozone vertical profiles during the 1979 - 1988 and November 1996 - 2002 time periods.

ERA-40 water vapour products below the diagnosed tropopause are substantially affected by assimilated observations. Three main periods can be identified (*Uppala et al.*, 2005): until 1973, ERA-40 used only conventional in situ surface and radiosonde measurements; from 1973, satellite radiances from VTPR (1973 - 1978) and the TOVS instruments MSU, SSU, and HIRS (1978 - onwards) were used in addition to these conventional data sources; from 1987, 1D-Var retrievals of TCWV from SSM/I radiances were added to the assimilation. Radiosonde humidity measurements were generally used at pressures greater than 300 hPa. No adjustments to the humidity field due to data assimilation were made in ERA-40 above the diagnosed tropopause. Thus, stratospheric water vapour in ERA-40 reflects TTL dehydration, transport, and methane oxidation. The latter was included via a simple stratospheric parameterization, in which WV was gradually relaxed to 6 ppmv at the stratopause (*Untch et al.*, 1998). This relaxation was later found to produce too low WV concentrations at the stratopause as it was based on earlier studies when atmospheric methane levels were lower (*Uppala et al.*, 2005). ERA-40 stratospheric humidity has also been shown to be too low overall, due primarily to a cold bias in TTL temperatures caused by an excessively strong Brewer-Dobson circulation (*Oikonomou and O'Neill*, 2006).

#### 4.2.4 ERA-Interim

The treatment of ozone and water vapour in ERA-Interim is very similar to that in ERA-40. Notable differences include additional assimilated datasets and an improved treatment of water vapour in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS). Descriptions of the ozone system and assessments of its quality have been provided by *Dee et al.* (2011) and *Dragani* (2011).

As with ERA-40, total ozone from TOMS (Jan 1979 - Nov 1989; Jun 1990-Dec 1994; Jun 1996-Dec 2001) and ozone layer averages from SBUV (1979-present) are assimilated (Figures 4.1 and 4.2). ERA-Interim also assimilates TCO from OMI (Jun 2008 - Jan 2009, Mar 2009 - present) and SCIAMACHY (Jan 2003 - Dec 2008), and ozone profiles from GOME (Jan 1996 - Dec 2002), MIPAS (Jan 2003 - Mar 2004), and MLS (Jan - Nov 2008, Jun 2009 - present). Details on the data versions and data providers are provided in Table 1 of Dragani (2011). A change in the assimilation of SBUV ozone profiles was implemented in January 2008. Before January 2008, assimilated SBUV profiles were low vertical resolution products derived over six vertical layers (0.1-1hPa, 1-2hPa, 2-4hPa, 4-8hPa, 8-16hPa and 16hPa-surface) from NOAA version 6 (v6) retrievals. These data were replaced by native 21-vertical-level SBUV profiles from v8 retrievals.

The assimilation of ozone profile retrievals from Aura MLS started in 2008 (**Figure 4.2**) using the reprocessed v2.2 MLS retrievals (215 - 0.1 hPa), followed by the near-real-time v2.2 product (68 - 0.1 hPa) from June 2009 through December 2012, followed by a "v3+" near-real-time product (same levels as the reprocessed v2.2 with an additional level at 178 hPa) from January 2013 to 17 March 2017. After 17 March 2017 until present the MLS v4 near-real-time product has been assimilated.

The ozone forecast model used in ERA-Interim has the same basic formulation as that used in ERA-40 but some aspects of the parameterization have been upgraded substantially, especially the regression coefficients. An account of the changes is provided by *Cariolle and Teyssédre* (2007). As in ERA-40, the radiation scheme in ERA-Interim does not use the prognostic ozone field. A preliminary assessment of the temperature and wind fields revealed unrealistic temperature and horizontal wind increments generated near the stratopause by the 4D-Var assimilation scheme in an attempt to accommodate large local adjustments in ozone concentrations (*Dragani*, 2011; *Dee*, 2008). As an ozone bias correction was not available in ERA-Interim to limit the detrimental effect of ozone assimilation on temperature and wind fields, the sensitivity of the latter to ozone changes was switched off in ERA-Interim. This change affected the period from 1 February 1996 onwards and the ten years from 1979 through 1988 that were run at a later stage.

Through December 1995, ERA-Interim ozone analyses perform better than their ERA-40 counterparts with respect to independent ozone observations in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, but perform slightly worse on average in the middle stratosphere (*Dee et al.*, 2011). The assimilation of GOME ozone profiles (Jan 1996 - Dec 2002) improves the agreement between ERA-Interim analyses and independent data, such that ERA-Interim outperforms ERA-40 throughout the atmosphere (including the middle stratosphere) from January 1996 through the end of ERA-40 in September 2002 (*Dragani*, 2011).

The ERA-Interim humidity analysis is substantially modified from that in ERA-40 due to changes in both model physics and assimilated observations. A non-linear transformation of the humidity control variable was introduced to make humidity background errors more Gaussian (Uppala et al., 2005; Hólm, 2003; Hólm et al., 2002). This transformation normalizes relative humidity increments by a factor that depends on background estimates of relative humidity and vertical level. A 1D-Var assimilation of rain-affected radiances over oceans was also added as part of the 4D-Var outer loop (Dee et al., 2011), which helps to constrain the spatial distribution of total column water vapour (TCWV). The ERA-Interim humidity analysis also benefits from several changes in the model physics, including changes in the convection scheme that lead to increased convective precipitation (particularly at night), reduced tropical wind errors, and a better representation of the diurnal phasing of precipitation events (Bechtold et al., 2004). The non-convective cloud scheme was also updated.

Perhaps of most relevance for humidity in the UTLS, the revised cloud scheme contains a new parameterization that allows supersaturation with respect to ice in the cloud-free portions of grid cells with temperatures less than 250 K (*Tompkins et al.*, 2007). The inclusion of this parameterization results in substantial increases in relative humidity in the upper troposphere and in the stratospheric polar cap relative to ERA-40 (*Dee et al.*, 2011). Methane oxidation in the stratosphere is included via a parameterization like the one used in ERA-40 but with relaxation to 6.8 ppmv at the stratopause (rather than 6 ppmv as in ERA-40), based on an analysis of UARS data by *Randel et al.* (1998).

As with ERA-40, no adjustments due to data assimilation are applied in the stratosphere (above the diagnosed tropopause). ERA-interim tropospheric humidity is affected by the assimilation of radiosonde humidity measurements, radiances from the TOVS (through 5 Sep 2006) and ATOVS (from Aug 1998) instrument suites, and TCWV retrievals based on rain-affected radiances from SSM/I (from Aug 1987). Recent ERA-Interim humidity analyses may also be affected by the assimilation of GNSS-RO bending angles (from May 2001) and/or AIRS all-sky radiances (from April 2004).

#### 4.2.5 ERA5

The treatment of ozone in ERA5 is the same as that used in ERA-Interim, but with substantial updates to the assimilated data. Reprocessed retrievals are assimilated from TOMS (1979 - 2003), SBUV v8.6 (1979 - present), CCI MI-PAS (2005 - 2012) and SCIAMACHY (2003 - 2012), Aura MLS v4.2 (2004 - present) and OMI-DOAS (2004 - present). ERA5 also assimilates IR ozone-sensitive radiances that were not used in ERA-Interim, and uses variational bias correction (see *Section 2.2.3.2*) during the ozone analysis. Analysed ozone is not used in the radiation calculations, which instead use an in-house ozone climatology from Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service interim reanalysis (CAMSiRA, *Flemming et al.*, 2017).

Water vapour in ERA5 is similar to ERA-Interim. Notable differences are that the parameterization of supersaturation with respect to ice in cloud-free portions of grid cells has been extended to all temperatures less than 273 K (as opposed to only temperatures less than 250 K in ERA-Interim) and a more consistent treatment of potentially negative water vapour values in the stratosphere has been added.

#### 4.2.6 JRA-25 and JRA-55

Ozone observations were not assimilated directly in the JRA-25 and JRA-55 systems (Kobayashi et al., 2015; Onogi et al., 2007). Instead, daily three-dimensional ozone fields were produced separately and provided to the JRA forecast model (i.e., to the radiation scheme). Daily ozone fields in JRA-55 for 1978 and earlier are interpolated in time from a monthly mean climatology for 1980 - 1984. Daily ozone fields in both systems for 1979 and later are produced using an offline chemistry climate model (MRI-CCM1, Shibata et al., 2005) that assimilated satellite observations of TCO using a nudging scheme. Assimilated TCO retrievals are taken from TOMS on Nimbus-7 and other satellites for the period 1979 - 2004 and from Aura OMI after the beginning of 2005. Different versions of MRI-CCM1 and different preparations of the ozone fields have been used for JRA-25 and JRA-55. For JRA-25, MRI-CCM1 output were also nudged to climatological ozone vertical profiles to account for a known bias in tropospheric ozone that produces a

bias in stratospheric ozone after nudging to observations of total ozone. This procedure produced reasonable peak ozone-layer values in the final ozone product. This vertical-profile nudging was not necessary for JRA-55, which used an updated version of MRI-CCM1. JRA-55 produces improved peak values in vertical ozone profiles relative to JRA-25, as well as a clear ozone quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) signature.

As with other modern reanalyses, JRA-25 and JRA-55 humidity fields are affected by the assimilation of radiosonde humidity measurements and satellite radiances. The JRA-25 assimilation analysed the logarithm of specific humidity (*Onogi et al.*, 2007). Stratospheric humidity was dry-biased and generally decreased with time in JRA-25, in part due to the lack of parameterised methane oxidation. The JRA-25 forecast model radiation calculations assumed a constant value of 2.5 ppmv in the stratosphere. Water vapour in the UTLS shows evidence of discontinuities at the start of 1991, which corresponds to the transition between the two major processing streams of JRA-25. *Onogi et al.* (2007) reported sudden jumps of +0.7 ppmv at 150 hPa and +0.9 ppmv at 100 hPa associated with this transition.

The treatment of water vapour in JRA-55 is similar in most respects to that in JRA-25. JRA-55 does not contain a parameterization of methane oxidation. Differences include a change in the upper boundary above which the vertical correlations of humidity background errors are set to zero, preventing spurious analysis increments at higher levels. This boundary is set at 5 hPa in JRA-55, and 50 hPa in JRA-25. Forecast model radiation calculations in JRA-55 use an annual mean climatology of stratospheric water vapour derived from UARS HALOE and UARS MLS measurements made during 1991-1997 in the stratosphere, rather than the constant 2.5 ppmv used in JRA-25. The introduction of an improved radiation scheme in JRA-55 greatly reduced lower stratospheric negative temperature biases that were present in JRA-25 during the TOVS period before 1998 (Fujiwara et al., 2017; Kobayashi et al., 2015), which may have beneficial impacts on JRA-55 stratospheric humidity products. Water vapour concentrations at pressures less than 100 hPa are not provided in the standard pressure-level products of these two reanalyses (although these concentrations are provided in model-level products), and are therefore not evaluated in this chapter.

#### 4.2.7 MERRA

Ozone is a prognostic variable in MERRA (*Rienecker et al.*, 2011), and is subjected to assimilation, transport by assimilated winds (more precisely, the odd-oxygen family is the transported species), and parameterised chemistry. The MERRA general circulation model (GCM) uses a simple chemistry scheme that applies monthly zonal mean ozone production and loss rates derived from a 2-dimensional chemistry model (*Stajner et al.*, 2008).

Ozone data assimilated in the reanalysis include partial columns and total ozone (defined as the sum of layer values in a profile) from a series of SBUV instruments (*Flynn et al.*, 2009) on various NOAA platforms (**Figures 4.1** and **4.2**). Version 8 of the SBUV retrievals (Flynn, 2007) is used but the native 21 vertical layers are combined into 12 layers (each 5 km deep) prior to assimilation. All other assimilated data, including radiance observations, are explicitly prevented from impacting the ozone analysis directly.

Background error standard deviations for ozone are specified as ~4% of the global mean ozone on a given model level. Horizontal background error correlation lengths vary from ~400 km in the troposphere to ~800 km at the model top. Assimilated ozone fields are fed into the forecast model radiation scheme and are used in the radiative transfer model for radiance assimilation.

Water vapour is also a prognostic assimilated variable in MERRA; however, unlike ozone, moisture fields in the stratosphere are relaxed to a 2-D monthly climatology with a relaxation time of 3 days. This climatology is derived from water vapour observations made by the UARS HALOE and Aura MLS instruments (e.g., Rienecker et al., 2011 and references therein). This climatological constraint is introduced gradually over the layer between the model tropopause and 50 hPa, where pressure-dependent blending between the climatology and the GCM water vapour is applied. Water vapour above the tropopause does not undergo physically meaningful variations on timescales longer than the 3-day relaxation timescale except in the lowermost stratosphere where the climatology is given a smaller weight. No attempt was made to account for methane oxidation or trends in stratospheric methane concentrations.

MERRA assimilates specific humidity measurements from radiosondes at pressures above 300 hPa and marine surface observations. Moisture fields are affected by microwave radiance data from SSM/I and AMSU-B/MHS, infrared radiances from HIRS, the GOES Sounder, and AIRS, and rain rates derived from TMI and SSM/I. Background error statistics for water vapour were derived using the National Meteorological Center method and applied using a recursive filters methodology (*Wu et al.*, 2002). The moisture control variable is pseudo-relative humidity (*Dee and Da Silva*, 2003).

#### 4.2.8 MERRA-2

The key differences between the treatment of ozone in MERRA-2 (*Gelaro et al.*, 2017) and that in MERRA are in the observing system and background error covariances. From January 1980 to September 2004, MERRA-2 assimilates v8.6 SBUV retrievals of partial columns on a 21-layer vertical grid (*Bhartia et al.*, 2013) and total ozone computed as the sum of individual layer values. Compared to the v8 retrievals used in MERRA, the v8.6 algorithm uses improved ozone cross-sections and an improved

cloud height climatology. These updates result in better agreement with independent ozone data and make SBUV more suitable for long-term climatologies (Frith et al., 2014; McPeters et al., 2013). Starting in October 2004, SBUV data was replaced by a combination of TCO from Aura OMI (Levelt et al., 2006) and stratospheric profiles from Aura MLS (Waters et al., 2006). The OMI data consist of TCO retrievals from collection 3 and are based on the v8.5 retrieval algorithm, which is an improvement of the v8.0 algorithm extensively evaluated by McPeters et al. (2008). The assimilation algorithm makes use of the OMI averaging kernels to account for the sensitivity of these measurements to clouds in the lower troposphere (Wargan et al., 2015). MLS data are from v2.2 between October 2004 and May 2015 and v4.2 (Livesey et al., 2017) afterwards. Users of the MERRA-2 ozone product should therefore be aware that the reanalysis record may show a discontinuity in 2004 with two distinct periods as follows: the SBUV period (1980 - September 2004) and the EOS Aura period (from October 2004 onward). The analysis is expected to be of higher quality during the latter period due to the higher vertical resolution of Aura MLS profiles relative to SBUV profiles and the availability of MLS observations during night.

Ozone background error variance in the MERRA-2 model follows *Wargan et al.* (2015). The background error standard deviation at each grid point is proportional to the background ozone at that point and time. This approach introduces a flow dependence into the assumed background errors and allows a more accurate representation of shallow structures in the ozone fields, especially in the UTLS. As in MERRA, the ozone analyses are radiatively active tracers in both the forecast model and the radiative transfer model used for assimilation of satellite radiances. *Bosilovich et al.* (2015) provided a preliminary evaluation of the MERRA-2 ozone product. A more comprehensive description and validation, including comparisons with MERRA, is given in *Wargan et al.* (2017).

The treatment of stratospheric water vapour in MER-RA-2 is similar to that in MERRA, with a 3-day relaxation to the same climatological annual cycle. The main innovation is the introduction of additional global constraints that ensure the conservation of the dry mass of the atmosphere and rescale the water vapour tendency to remove the globally integrated mean from the analysis increment (*Takacs et al.*, 2016).

In addition to the moisture data assimilated in MER-RA, MERRA-2 assimilates GNSS-RO data and radiances from the recently introduced infrared sensors IASI, CrIS, and SEVIRI. Radiances from these recent IR instruments are not highly sensitive to stratospheric water vapour, but stratospheric water vapour is not explicitly prevented from being affected by the assimilation of these observations. Changes in the MERRA-2 observing system relative to MERRA are described in more detail by *Bosilovich et al.* (2015) and *McCarty et al.* (2016). The moisture control variable in the MERRA-2 assimilation scheme is pseudo-relative humidity normalised by the background error standard deviation. Background error covariances used in MERRA-2 have been significantly retuned relative to those used in MERRA (*Bosilovich et al.*, 2015).

#### 4.3 Data

In this section, we describe the approach we use to process the reanalysis ozone and water vapour fields, and the observations used to evaluate them. We note that some of these observational data are assimilated by the reanalyses. While comparisons between reanalyses and observations would ideally be based on independent observations, this is not always possible given the paucity of water vapour and ozone data in parts of the atmosphere. However, comparison to assimilated observations can serve a useful purpose by providing an internal consistency check on the ability of reanalysis data assimilation systems to exploit the data they assimilate.

#### 4.3.1 Reanalysis data processing

Most of the comparisons presented in this chapter are based on monthly mean reanalysis fields calculated from the "pressure level" data sets provided by each reanalysis centre, and processed into a standardised format as part of the CREATE project (https://esgf.nccs.nasa.gov/ projects/create-ip/). The one exception to this is JRA-25 ozone data, which we have processed ourselves. This was done because the pressure level data product provided by JMA ("fcst\_phy3m25") used incorrect hybrid model level coefficients when converting from model levels to pressure levels. The JRA-25 ozone data used here were computed directly from the 6-hourly model level data product ("fcst\_phy3m"). To facilitate intercomparison amongst reanalyses, the pressure level-based datasets have been re-gridded to a common horizontal grid (2.5° lon x 2.5° lat) and a common set of 26 pressure levels (1000, 925, 850, 700, 600, 500, 400, 300, 250, 200, 150, 100, 70, 50, 30, 20, 10, 7, 5, 3, 2, 1, 0.7, 0.5, 0.3, 0.1 hPa). Unless otherwise noted, climatological comparisons follow the WMO convention in using the 30-year 1981 - 2010 climatological norm (Arguez and Vose, 2011).

Reanalysis TCO data are monthly means computed from the 6-hourly TCO fields. All of the models provided 6-hourly TCO on various native horizontal grids, except for JRA-25. For JRA-25, 6-hourly ozone mass mixing ratios were provided on model levels. The mixing ratios were integrated for each horizontal grid point to get TCO, and then monthly means were computed. For each reanalysis, the climatologies and departures from climatology were calculated and are presented on each data set's native horizontal grid. For comparisons to the SBUV and TOMS/ OMI data, each model was interpolated to the native horizontal grid of each of the observational data sets.

#### 4.3.2 SBUV and TOMS/OMI total column ozone

Two datasets are used to evaluate the total column ozone in the reanalyses. The first is the SBUV Merged Ozone Data Set (*Frith et al.*, 2014). The second is a combination of TOMS and Aura OMI OMTO3d total ozone observations (*Bhartia and Wellemeyer*, 2002). These two data sets provide a long, coherent span of observations for evaluation. TOMS and OMI data were processed using the TOMS V8 algorithm, while the SBUV data were processed using the TOMS V8.6 algorithm. Because data from SBUV and TOMS (and in many cases OMI) are assimilated by most of the reanalyses, these comparisons are not independent.

Since SBUV sensors measure backscatter solar ultraviolet radiation, only daytime observations are available; wintertime ozone in polar regions is thus poorly constrained by observations. Early NOAA satellites experienced orbital drifts that resulted in reduced daylight coverage over time. For example, the equatorial crossing time for NOAA-11 drifted from ~2 PM in 1989 to ~5 PM five years later, lead-ing to limited SBUV coverage in 1994 (ozone observations were entirely unavailable south of 30 °S during that austral winter). A similar orbital drift in the NOAA-17 satellite impacted the quality of the MERRA ozone products in 2012 before the introduction of observations from NOAA-19 SBUV in 2013. Outside of the exceptions described above and occasional short temporal gaps, SBUV provides good coverage of the sunlit atmosphere.

#### 4.3.3 SPARC Data Initiative limb satellite observations

The SPARC Data Initiative (*Hegglin et al.*, 2021; *SPARC*, 2017) data set includes monthly mean zonal mean climatologies of ozone (*Neu et al.*, 2014; *Tegtmeier et al.*, 2013) and water vapour (*Hegglin et al.*, 2013) from an international suite of satellite limb sounders. The zonal monthly mean climatologies have undergone a comprehensive quality assessment and are suitable for climatological comparisons of the vertical distribution and interannual variability of these constituents in reanalyses on monthly to multi-annual timescales. We use a subset of the instrumental records available, as specified below.

The observational multi-instrument mean (MIM) for ozone averaged over 2005 - 2010 is derived using the SPARC Data Initiative (in the following abbreviated as SDI) zonal monthly mean climatologies from ACE-FTS (v2.2), Aura MLS (v2-2), MIPAS (v220), and OSIRIS (v5-0). These instruments provide data for the full 6 years considered and show inter-instrument differences with respect to the MIM that are generally smaller than  $\pm 5\%$  throughout most of the stratosphere. Hence, temporal inhomogeneities that could affect the MIM are avoided and the standard deviation in the MIM is relatively small. Differences from the MIM in the lower mesosphere and tropical lower stratosphere are somewhat higher ( $\pm 10\%$ ) (*Tegtmeier et al.*, 2013).

The evaluation of the ozone QBO signal for 2005-2010 is based on the instruments OSIRIS, GOMOS, and Aura MLS, which produce the most consistent QBO signals (*Tegtmeier et al.*, 2013).

The observational MIM for water vapour averaged over 2005 - 2010 is derived using the SDI zonal monthly mean climatologies from Aura MLS (v3.3), MIPAS (V5r\_H2O\_220), ACE-FTS (v2.2), and SCIAMACHY (v3.0). These instruments show inter-instrument differences that are generally within  $\pm 5\%$  of the MIM throughout most of the stratosphere (*Hegglin et al.*, 2013). Differences from the MIM in the tropical upper troposphere increase to  $\pm 20\%$ .

#### 4.3.4 Aura MLS satellite data

The evolution of ozone in the reanalyses is compared with that observed by Aura MLS. This instrument measures millimeter- and submillimeter-wavelength thermal emission from Earth's atmosphere using a limb viewing geometry. Waters et al. (2006) provide detailed information on the measurement technique and the Aura MLS instrument. Vertical profiles are measured every 165km along the suborbital track with an along-track horizontal resolution of 200~500km and a cross-track footprint of 3~9km. Here we use version 4.2 (hereafter v4) MLS ozone measurements from September 2004 through December 2013. The quality of the MLS v4 data has been described by Livesey et al. (2017). The vertical resolution of MLS ozone is about 3km and the single-profile precision varies with height from approximately 0.03 ppmv at 100 hPa to 0.2 ppmv at 1 hPa. The v4 MLS data are quality-screened as recommended by Livesey et al. (2017). V4 stratospheric (pressures less than 100 hPa) ozone values are within  $\sim 2\%$  of those in version 2.2 (v2), which is the version assimilated in MERRA-2 (until 31 May 2015, after which v4 data are used) and ERA-Interim. At pressures greater than 100 hPa, v4 MLS ozone shows high and negative biases with respect to v2 at alternating levels, indicating improvement of vertical oscillations seen in v2 (Livesey et al., 2017) and v3 (Yan et al., 2016).

#### 4.3.5 SWOOSH merged limb satellite data record

The Stratospheric Water and Ozone Satellite Homogenized (SWOOSH) database is a monthly-mean record of vertically resolved ozone and water vapour data from a subset of limb profiling satellite instruments operating since the 1980s (*Davis et al.*, 2016). The SWOOSH version 2.6 data used here include individual satellite source data from SAGE-II (v7), SAGE-III (v4), UARS MLS (v5/6), UARS HALOE (v19), and Aura MLS (v4.2), as well as a merged data product. A key aspect of the merged product is that the source records are homogenised to account for inter-satellite biases and to minimize artificial jumps in the record. The homogenization process involves adjusting the satellite data records to a "reference" satellite using coincident observations during time periods of instrument overlap. SWOOSH uses

SAGE-II as the reference for ozone and Aura MLS as the reference for water vapour. SWOOSH merged product data are used for time series evaluations that start before 2004, prior to the availability of Aura MLS. After August 2004, the SWOOSH merged product is essentially the same as the v4.2 Aura MLS data.

#### 4.4 Evaluation of reanalysis ozone products

#### 4.4.1 Total column ozone seasonal cycle

In this section, we compare SBUV TCO data to reanalysis products over the 1981-2010 climatology period. Figure 4.3 shows the seasonal cycle in total column ozone from SBUV as a function of latitude and month. Also shown are the differences between TOMS/OMI and SBUV, and between the different reanalyses and SBUV. The climatological TCO fields of the TOMS/OMI and the reanalyses are given as line contours in the difference plots. Figure 4.4 shows the equivalent comparison for TOMS/OMI data. The reanalyses all reproduce the major features of the seasonal cycle and latitudinal distribution of TCO. This agreement is not surprising given that all of reanalyses shown in Figures 4.3 and 4.4 assimilate TCO data from one of the two satellites (Figure 4.1). As such, the comparisons here do not represent independent validation of ozone in reanalyses but rather represent a test of the internal consistency of the ozone data assimilation system. Hence it is not surprising that MERRA and MERRA-2 generally perform better against SBUV than against TOMS/OMI, while ERA-Interim and JRA-55 generally perform better against TOMS/OMI than against SBUV, since MERRA and MERRA-2 assimilate SBUV (but not TOMS/OMI), while ERA-Interim and JRA-55 primarily assimilate TOMS/OMI (but not SBUV).

Although the reanalysis TCO fields look quite similar, a handful of widespread biases are revealed by considering the differences between reanalyses and observations. The agreement between the two observational TCO data sets is within approximately  $\pm 6$  DU (2 - 3%), with SBUV generally having smaller values in the tropics and larger values at high latitudes relative to TOMS/OMI. Differences between the reanalyses and the TCO observations are generally slightly larger than the difference between the two observational data sets. ERA-40 produces substantially larger TCO values than observed, particularly at higher latitudes. JRA-25 contains significantly smaller TCO values than observed (~10 DU less), except during the springtime at high southern latitudes.

For reanalyses that only (or mainly) assimilate UV-based retrievals, the winter hemisphere high latitudes remain largely unconstrained by data assimilation. The impact of the TCO observations may also be limited by filtering choices. For example, assimilated observations are filtered to exclude low solar elevation angles (less than 10° for TOMS



**Figure 4.3:** Zonal- and monthly-mean total column ozone climatology over 1981 - 2010 from SBUV observations (uppermost left panel), along with the absolute differences between each reanalysis and SBUV. The difference between TOMS/OMI and SBUV is also shown (uppermost middle panel). Line contours show each reanalysis' respective climatology. Both climatology and observational reference to calculate differences for ERA-40 are for the time period Jan 1981 - Aug 2002 in order to avoid sampling issues. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).



Figure 4.4: Same as Fig. 4.3, except using TOMS/OMI as the observational data set. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).

-- Early online release --

-- Early online release --

andless than 6° for SBUV) in both ERA-40 and ERA-Interim. This filtering further limits observational impacts on the ozone analyses at higher latitudes. Hence, for ERA-Interim, before the start of the Aura MLS assimilation in 2008, high latitude ozone fields essentially reflect the effects of transport and the ozone parameterization used. For ERA-40, *Dethof and Hólm* (2004) showed that the ozone model produces positive biases in ozone concentrations at high latitudes ranging from ~20 DU in the summer hemisphere to ~50 DU in the winter hemisphere, which is broadly consistent with the comparison shown in **Figure 4.3**.

#### 4.4.2 Zonal mean ozone cross-sections

In this section, we compare zonal mean multi-annual mean latitude-altitude cross-sections of ozone between the different reanalyses and the SDI MIM. We perform the comparison for 2005-2010 using the subset of instruments described in *Section 4.3.3*. This shorter period has been chosen to avoid sampling issues that could be introduced by changes in instrument availability, which could alter sampling patterns, or trends in the constituents, such as the increase in ozone depletion from the 1970s to the mid 1990s. ERA-40 is excluded from this and all other comparisons with the SDI MIM because it ended in 2002.

**Figure 4.5** shows multi-annual zonal mean ozone from the SDI MIM and the relative differences between each reanalysis and the SDI MIM (calculated as  $100 \times (R_i - MIM)/MIM$ , where  $R_i$  is the reanalysis field). Also indicated using contours are the climatological ozone distributions of the reanalyses. The reanalyses all capture the general zonal mean distribution of ozone, including the global maximum in ozone volume mixing ratio in the tropical middle stratosphere and

the tropopause-following isopleths immediately above the tropopause. Among the reanalyses, MERRA-2 best reproduces this overall structure, with relative differences within  $\pm 5\%$  throughout the middle and upper stratosphere. MER-RA, CFSR, ERA-Interim, and ERA5 also perform generally well, but with MERRA overestimating concentrations in the ozone maximum (~10hPa) relative to the SDI MIM. ERA-Interim shows relatively good agreement in the middle stratosphere with biases smaller than  $\pm 5\%$  but includes a negative bias with magnitudes greater than 10% in the upper stratosphere. ERA5 generally improves over ERA-interim in the middle stratosphere at all latitudes and in the UTLS at mid- to high-latitudes. However, the differences to the MIM increase around the tropical tropopause when compared to ERA-interim. All reanalyses (except ERA5, which shows generally smaller differences from the MIM) show biases exceeding  $\pm 10\%$  in the lowermost stratosphere, at pressures greater than 100h Pa. JRA-55 is an evident improvement relative to JRA-25, particularly in the polar regions. Negative biases in JRA-55 have approximately halved in the middle and upper stratosphere, compared to JRA-25. However, JRA-55 also shows somewhat higher positive biases around the tropical upper troposphere and lower stratosphere than JRA-25. It is worth noting that the diurnal cycle in ozone (e.g., Parrish et al., 2014; Sakazaki et al., 2013) has not been explicitly accounted for in the observational MIM. Neglecting the diurnal cycle potentially contributes to differences between the reanalyses and observations in the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere.

Most reanalyses have a positive bias in ozone in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) lower stratosphere. This indicates an inability to simulate Antarctic ozone depletion accurately due to a combined effect of limited data coverage, data filtering, and limitations of the



**Figure 4.5:** Multi-annual zonal mean ozone latitude-altitude cross-sections averaged over 2005 - 2010 for the SPARC Data Initiative multi-instrument mean (SDI MIM) (upper left), along with the relative differences between reanalyses and observations as  $(R_i - MIM)/MIM*100$ , where  $R_i$  is a reanalysis field. Also shown in contours are the respective zonal mean climatologies for the different reanalyses. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

reanalyses' chemistry schemes at high latitudes (*Section 4.4.1*). A dipole is apparent in the CSFR and ERA-Interim biases, with a positive bias near ~ 100 hPa located below a negative bias near ~ 10 hPa. This dipole may reflect a lack of information about the vertical location of the ozone hole in the TCO and SBUV observations assimilated by these systems. In contrast, MERRA includes a significant positive bias (>10%) at Southern high latitudes that extends throughout the stratosphere.

#### 4.4.3 Ozone monthly mean vertical profiles and seasonal cycles

**Figures 4.6a** and **b** show vertical profiles of ozone for January (2005-2010 average) for the reanalyses and the SDI MIM at two different latitudes, 40 °N and 70 °S, respectively, along with the relative differences for each reanalysis with respect to the MIM. In addition, **Figures 4.6c-e** and **f-h** show the seasonal cycles of ozone for three different pressure levels at 40 °N and 70 °S, respectively. The vertical profiles and the seasonal

cycles reveal seasonal information on reanalyses-observation differences that expands upon the annual zonal mean evaluation presented in *Section 4.4.2*. In general, the results shown reinforce the conclusions of the previous section.

Most reanalyses resolve the vertical distribution in January reasonably well at both latitudes, in particular in the middle stratosphere between around 50 hPa and 5 hPa. MERRA-2, MERRA, CFSR, and ERA5 perform particularly well. At 70°S, JRA-25 is a clear outlier that produces too little ozone in the vicinity of the maximum. JRA-55 and ERA-Interim also underestimate ozone concentrations above the ozone maximum by between 10% and 20% but are not as strongly biased as JRA-25 (which produces differences of more than 30%). All reanalyses show larger percentage differences from the MIM in the lower part of the profile at pressures greater than 100 hPa. The reanalyses seem to overestimate ozone at around 150 hPa by 20% in the Southern high latitudes, possibly related to not capturing accurately enough the extent of ozone depletion during spring.



**Figure 4.6:** Multi-annual mean vertical ozone profiles over 2005-2010 for January at (a) 40° N and (b) 70° S from the SPARC Data Initiative multi-instrument mean (SDI MIM) (black) and the six reanalyses (coloured). Absolute values are shown in the left and relative differences in the right panels for each comparison. Relative differences are calculated as ( $R_i$ -MIM)//MIM\*100, where  $R_i$  is a reanalysis profile. Black dashed lines provide the ±1-sigma uncertainty (as calculated by the standard deviation over all instruments and years available) in the observational mean. Horizontal dashed lines in grey indicate the pressure levels (150, 50, and 10 hPa) for which seasonal cycles are shown in panels (c) and (d) for the two latitude ranges 30°-50° N and 60°-80° S, respectively. Grey shading indicates observational uncertainty (±1-sigma) calculated as the standard deviation over all instruments and years et al. (2017).

Below 200 hPa at both latitudes, all reanalyses underestimate observed ozone values. An exception to this is ERA5, which shows much smaller differences to the MIM of less than  $\pm 10\%$  at 40°N.

The agreement between the reanalyses and observations varies by month, as can be seen in Figures 4.6c-e and f-h, which show the annual cycle for selected pressure levels (150, 50, and 10hPa) and somewhat extended latitude bands of 30°N-50°N and 60°S-80°S, respectively. The agreement in the ozone seasonal cycle between the SDI observations and the reanalyses is better in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) mid-latitudes (where the seasonal cycles have a simple sinusoidal structure) than in the SH high latitudes. In the NH at 50 hPa and 150 hPa, ozone reaches its annual maximum during boreal spring and its annual minimum during autumn, attributable to the strong seasonality in the Brewer-Dobson circulation. The seasonal cycle is shifted at 10hPa, with a maximum in summer and a minimum in winter, attributable mostly to ozone photochemistry. Most of the reanalyses produce a fairly accurate ozone evolution at these levels with exceptions as follows: At 150hPa, JRA-55 shows a strong negative bias when compared to both observations and the other reanalyses during the NH winter/spring months. All the other reanalyses (except ERA5, which shows nearly perfect agreement with the observations) tend to overestimate the absolute ozone values, but agree rather well with the seasonal cycle in the observations in terms of amplitude and phase. At 50hPa, the seasonal cycle produced by JRA-55 shows a more gradual decline in ozone concentrations into autumn relative to both observations and other reanalyses. ERA-Interim, MERRA, and CFSR at 10hPa tend to overestimate ozone during spring and early summer, while JRA-55 (JRA-25) tends to underestimate (overestimate) ozone during fall and winter. ERA5 tends to agree also at these other levels best with the observations.

Seasonal cycles in SH high latitudes have a more complex structure than those in the NH mid-latitudes due to generally weaker downwelling in the Brewer–Dobson circulation and the influence of Antarctic ozone depletion. As a consequence, the reanalyses have more difficulty in capturing the seasonal cycle. At 10 hPa, MERRA-2 and ERA5 show the best agreement with the observations. CFSR also follows the observations relatively well, but overestimates the amplitude of the seasonal cycle, primarily because of values that are too low during May through July. MERRA and JRA-25 are outliers in that they do not contain the strong annual minimum observed during late austral autumn and early winter. At 50 hPa, MERRA and JRA-25 agree better with observations than at 10 hPa, but still underestimate austral springtime ozone depletion.



**Figure 4.7:** Interannual variability (left column) and deseasonalized anomalies (right column) for ozone during 2005-2010 for the SPARC Data Initiative multi-instrument mean (SDI MIM, black) and the six reanalyses (coloured). Results are shown for three different pressure levels and latitude ranges (top to bottom: 150 hPa at  $40^{\circ}-60^{\circ}N$ , 10 hPa at  $40^{\circ}-60^{\circ}N$ , and 50 hPa at  $60^{\circ}-80^{\circ}S$ ). Grey shading indicates observational uncertainty ( $\pm 1$ -sigma) calculated as the standard deviation over all instruments and years available. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

-- Early online release -

They are also phase shifted, with the MERRA peak at 50 hPa occurring one month later than the SDI observations, and the JRA-25 peak occurring one month earlier. Finally, at 150 hPa, the seasonality in the reanalyses varies widely and is inconsistent with that in the observations, with the exception of MERRA and ERA5, which produce the most realistic seasonal cycle amplitude. MERRA-2 and ERA5 show the closest agreement with observations at all levels, with the exception of MERRA-2 at 150 hPa, which is the next to lowest valid level of the MLS v2.2 ozone retrievals that it assimilates.

#### 4.4.4 Ozone interannual variability

Figure 4.7 shows time series of interannual variability of ozone and its anomalies in the SDI MIM and reanalyses during 2005-2010. The anomalies, which are calculated for each reanalysis by subtracting multi-year monthly means averaged over 2005 - 2010 from the monthly mean time series, are a good indicator of how well physical processes (such as transport) are represented in reanalyses. Time series are shown for the SH high latitudes (averaged over 60°S-80°S) at 50hPa, and for the NH mid-latitudes (40°N-60°N) at 150hPa and 10hPa. In all cases, MER-RA-2 and ERA5 produce the closest match with the SDI MIM in terms of both the absolute values and the structure of its interannual variability. This agreement highlights the benefit of assimilating vertical profile observations from a limb-viewing satellite instrument. Although it has to be noted that the comparison is not done against truly independent observations in this case, since Aura MLS (v2.2) is included in the SDI MIM. MERRA-2 (which assimilates v2.2 for the time period of the comparison) is an evident improvement over MERRA, which tends to disagree with the absolute ozone values of the observations at 150 hPa and to overestimate them at 10 hPa, and to underestimate interannual variability at both levels in the NH mid-latitudes. JRA-55 also shows clear improvement relative to JRA-25 with respect to the amplitude and structure of interannual variability, at least at 10 hPa in the NH mid-latitudes. Large excursions seen in JRA-25, such as the sudden drop in ozone at the beginning of 2008, are not present in JRA-55 or in the observations.

Although ERA-Interim ozone mean values mostly agree well with observations, the amplitude of its interannual variability is larger than observed. In particular, ERA-Interim overestimates the negative anomaly in NH midlatitudes at 10hPa, and the positive anomaly in SH high latitudes at 50hPa during 2008. The largest differences appear to affect ERA-Interim from mid-2009 when the assimilation of Aura MLS data restarted with the (v3) NRT product after months of data unavailability. All these problems seem to be resolved in ERA5, with ERA5 showing similarly good agreement with the observations as MERRA-2. The improvement may be at least partially explained by the use of a newer version of Aura MLS data (v4.2) in the assimilation system. Finally, CSFR also produces large interannual excursions during certain

years (*e.g.*, during spring 2006 and 2007 at 50 hPa in SH high latitudes). This issue may be related to SBUV only offering measurements between September to March, so that the assimilation system is not well constrained during the remainder of the year.

#### 4.4.5 Ozone time series in equivalent latitude coordinates

Equivalent latitude (EqL) is a common vortex-centred coordinate used in studies of the stratosphere (e.g., Manney et al., 1999; Butchart and Remsberg, 1986; and references therein). This coordinate is also useful as a geophysically-based coordinate in the UTLS (e.g., Santee et al., 2011), although interpretation becomes more complicated in this context (e.g., Pan et al., 2012; Manney et al., 2011). The equivalent latitude of a potential vorticity (PV) contour is defined as the latitude of a circle centred about the pole enclosing the same area as the PV contour (see Hegglin et al., 2006 for a visual illustration). Figure 4.8 shows the time series of v4 MLS ozone (Section 4.3.4) for late 2004 through 2013 in the lower stratosphere (520 K), along with differences between MERRA, MERRA-2, ERA-Interim, CFSR, and JRA-55 and MLS ozone at the same level. MLS ozone is interpolated to isentropic surfaces using temperatures from MERRA. The EqL ozone time series are then produced using a weighted average of MLS data in EqL and time, with data also weighted by measurement precision (e.g., Manney et al., 2007; Manney et al., 1999). Figures 4.9-4.10 show the equivalent evaluation for the 350 K and 850 K potential temperature levels.

Figure 4.8 reveals that MERRA-2 matches MLS more closely over the full period than do the other reanalyses. This is expected because the stratospheric ozone reanalyses in MERRA-2 are largely constrained by the MLS stratospheric ozone profiles (v2 for the period shown here) and OMI column ozone beginning in October 2004 (in fact, at 850K, a suggestion of poorer agreement can be seen in September 2004). This agreement is especially apparent during Antarctic winter and spring, when other assimilated ozone products (e.g., SBUV/2 and TOMS) cannot provide measurements due to darkness and simplified chemical parameterizations cannot adequately represent heterogeneous loss processes. The improved vertical resolution of MLS relative to SBUV/2 also better constrains the structure of the ozone hole, which is vertically limited. ERA-Interim also shows close agreement with MLS during the periods when it assimilates MLS ozone products (2008 and mid-2009 through present).

Biases in the reanalyses that do not assimilate MLS and OMI ozone vary in magnitude and sign, not only among the reanalyses but also with altitude and latitude (see also **Figures 4.9-4.10**). Positive biases in MERRA and CFSR ozone during Arctic winter may be partially related to inadequate representations of ozone chemistry and an overall lack of measurements. We speculate that the latter is dominant due to the appearance of these biases even during years with minimal observed chemical ozone loss. JRA-55 biases become strongly negative in the upper stratosphere (**Figure 4.10**). These large biases in JRA-55 suggest that column ozone alone is insufficient to properly constrain the CTM used in the offline calculation close to observations. Each of the reanalyses except MERRA-2 shows a quasi-biennial pattern in the tropical differences from MLS, indicating deficiencies in the reanalysis representation of the QBO (see *Chapter 9*). In the UTLS (*e.g.*, 350 K, **Figure 4.9**), significant biases are present in all reanalyses at middle and high latitudes (*i.e.*, poleward of the latitude at which the tropopause intersects the 350 K isosurface, thus in the lowermost stratosphere), but are relatively small. MERRA-2 biases are slightly smaller than those in the other reanalyses, and the biases in ERA-Interim change character noticeably at the beginning of 2008 when MLS and OMI ozone are first assimilated. Seasonally varying biases just poleward of the tropopause are pervasive in the reanalyses.



**Figure 4.8:** Comparison of the equivalent latitude–time evolution of each reanalysis ozone field and MLS on the 520K isentropic surface (~50 hPa; ~20 km altitude) during the Aura mission September 2004 - December 2013. (Left) Mixing ratios (ppmv) for MLS and the reanalyses MERRA, MERRA-2, ERA-Interim, CFSR, and JRA-55 (top to bottom). (Right) differences (ppmv) between each reanalysis and MLS ( $R_i$  – MLS). Overlays are scaled potential vorticity (Manney et al., 1994) contours of 1.4 and 1.6 x 10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> from the corresponding reanalysis, which are intended to represent the wintertime polar vortex edge. Dynamical fields for the MLS panel are from MERRA. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).

It is possible that these biases are caused by variations in the ability of the reanalysis to capture quasi-isentropic stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) processes. However, it is worth noting that the small absolute differences on the tropical side of the tropopause in **Figure 4.9** could still be quite large in a relative sense, given the low amount of ozone in that region.

#### 4.4.6 Ozone quasi-biennial oscillation

Variations in transport and chemistry associated with the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) in tropical zonal wind are among the largest influences on interannual variability in equatorial ozone. The QBO signal in tropical ozone has a double-peaked structure with maxima in the lower (50-20 hPa) and the middle-to-upper (10-2 hPa) stratosphere (*Hasebe*, 1994; *Zawodny and Mccormick*, 1991). Ozone is mainly under dynamical control below 15 hPa, where the QBO signal results primarily from changes in ozone transport due to the QBO-induced residual circulation. In contrast, ozone is under photochemical control above 15 hPa. The QBO signal in these upper levels is understood to arise from a combination of QBO-induced temperature variations (*Zawodny and Mccormick*, 1991; *Ling and London*, 1986) and QBO-induced variability in the transport of NO<sub>y</sub> (*Chipperfield et al.*, 1994). As a result, ozone anomalies in the middle/upper stratosphere show the opposite phase relationship with zonal winds compared to ozone anomalies in the lower stratosphere.



*Figure 4.9:* As in *Figure 4.8*, but at 350 K and without vortex-edge sPV contours. The white contours are PV values of magnitude 1.5 and 4.5 PVU, bounding the range commonly used to define the dynamical tropopause. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).

A realistic characterization of the time-altitude QBO structure is an important aspect of physical consistency in ozone data sets.

**Figure 4.11** shows time-altitude cross sections of deseasonalised ozone anomalies from 2005 to 2010 from the SDI MIM, along with the differences between the ozone anomaly fields from the reanalyses and the SDI MIM. The climatological QBO anomaly fields of the reanalyses are given as contours in the difference plots. Combined ozone measurements from the limb-viewing satellite instruments show a downward propagating QBO ozone signal with a shift in the phase relative to zonal winds around 15hPa, as expected based on the known transition from photochemical to dynamical control of ozone at this level. All reanalyses exhibit some degree of quasi-biennial variability; however, differences are evident in the phase, amplitude, vertical extent, and downward propagation of these signals. The largest deviations from observations are in JRA-25, which displays positive anomalies from 2005 to mid-2007 followed by negative anomalies from mid-2007 through 2010 in place of the QBO signal above 15 hPa. In contrast, ERA-Interim shows predominantly negative anomalies in the 100 - 10 hPa pressure range before 2008 and positive anomalies afterwards. The changes in ERA-Interim coincide with the beginning of the assimilation of Aura MLS profiles beginning in 2008, which caused a shift to positive anomalies. Negative anomalies are present during the first half of 2009 when no MLS data were assimilated, followed by positive anomalies after the reintroduction of MLS data in June 2009 (Section 4.2.6).



Figure 4.10: Same as Figure 4.8, but at 850 K. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).

-- Early online release -



**Figure 4.11:** QBO ozone signal from the SPARC Data Initiative observations (upper left) during 2005 - 2010, defined as altitudetime cross-sections of deseasonalized ozone anomalies averaged over the  $10^{\circ}$  S $-10^{\circ}$  N tropical band. Observations are based on three satellite data sets. The other panels show the differences in QBO ozone signals between each reanalysis and the observations ( $R_i$ -MIM) with the black contours (0.2 ppmv interval, with dotted lines showing negative anomalies and solid lines for positive anomalies) showing the QBO ozone signal generated by each corresponding reanalysis. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

-- Early online release --

The QBO ozone signal is much improved in ERA5 over ERA-Interim, with anomalies that are roughly consistent in amplitude and frequency with the QBO ozone signal in the satellite data. In particular, the lower and middle stratospheric biases seen in ERA-Interim are largely removed. This improvement is at least partially attributable to MLS data being assimilated over the whole Aura mission time period in ERA5.

CFSR and MERRA produce anomalies that are also roughly consistent in amplitude and frequency with the QBO ozone signal in the satellite data. However, no clear downward propagation is apparent in these reanalyses. The vertical structure of the anomalies is also shifted. Instead of a pair of peaks in the lower stratosphere (50-20 hPa) and middle-to-upper stratosphere (10-2hPa), a single peak emerges near 15 hPa. This finding may be at least partially explained by the fact that the only vertically resolved ozone measurements assimilated by CFSR and MERRA come from SBUV. SBUV shows only a weak oscillatory behaviour, with a much smaller amplitude and without a properly downward propagating signal, attributable to the instrument's vertically limited and rather low vertical resolution (Kramarova et al., 2013; McLinden et al., 2009). JRA-55 and MERRA-2 produce a phase and amplitude of QBO variability like those observed in the satellite data. Overall, the features of the QBO (including the downward propagation) are much improved in MERRA-2 relative to MERRA (Coy et al., 2016), and in JRA-55 relative to JRA-25. Nearly all reanalysis data sets extend the QBO ozone signal to altitudes below 100 hPa. This upper tropospheric signal is not present (or not captured) in the satellite observations, although it is worth noting that these observations have higher uncertainties that may potentially mask QBO signals below 100 hPa.

#### 4.4.7 Ozone hole area

The Antarctic "ozone hole" is a region of severe ozone depletion that starts in late August or early September and lasts until November or early December. The ozone hole is commonly defined as the area within the 220 DU TCO contour. Figure 4.12 shows average ozone hole areas based on TOMS/OMI observations and six reanalyses during 1981 - 2010. The average is computed over 21 September - 20 October of each year. This period is chosen to avoid the partial coverage of the SH high latitudes that occurs in TOMS/OMI data during the early part of September. Observationally based ozone hole areas are larger than those produced by the reanalyses in almost all years between 1981 and 2002. The systematic negative bias in reanalysis-based ozone hole areas is consistent with reanalyses generally underestimating ozone loss. Most of the reanalyses track the observations well starting in 2003, causing the timeseries of the differences to (Figure 4.12b) to display a long-term trend. This is not a truly independent comparison (all reanalyses except for MERRA assimilate TOMS and/or OMI observations); however, it does

show the general consistency among most reanalyses in reproducing realistic interannual and decadal changes in the size of the Antarctic ozone hole, except for a few outliers discussed below.

The newer reanalyses (MERRA-2, ERA-Interim, JRA-55, and CSFR) are all within 1 million km<sup>2</sup> (5.2%) of the observations, and generally produce root-mean-square (RMS) differences relative to TOMS/OMI of less than 0.9 million km<sup>2</sup> (14.6%). A notable exception to the latter is MERRA-2 with an RMS of 2.8 million km<sup>2</sup> (44.5%). This large RMS is attributable to an outlier year in 1994, when MERRA-2 had a very small ozone hole (**Figure 4.12**). JRA-55 produces the smallest RMS difference relative to TOMS/ OMI, while MERRA-2 model produces the smallest mean difference relative to these observations.

MERRA did not produce an ozone hole in 1994, and produced very small ozone holes in 1993, 1997, 2009, and 2010. For related reasons, both JRA-55 and MERRA-2 did not produce an ozone hole in 1994, and produced a relatively small ozone hole in 1993. The elimination or reduction of the ozone hole during those years was caused by a lack of ozone observations for constraining the ozone field, as the processes that contribute to the development of the ozone hole are not represented in the parameterised ozone chemistry used in MERRA and MERRA-2. In 1994, orbital drift of the NOAA-11 satellite that provided the SBUV/2 TCO data assimilated by both MERRA and MERRA-2 led to a lack of ozone observations south of ~30°S during early Austral spring. NOAA-11 SBUV/2 coverage was also limited in 1993. While both MERRA and MERRA-2 use NOAA-11 SBUV, the version 8.6 data assimilated in the latter allowed less stringent quality screening criteria. Specifically, MERRA-2 uses observations made at solar zenith angles greater than 84°, excluded in MERRA, if they are otherwise marked as "good". This results in a slightly better coverage of NOAA-11 SBUV in MERRA-2, explaining its better performance in 1993 and even 1994. The MERRA ozone hole was only weakly constrained by observations in late September 1997 because NOAA-11 data only extended to 60°S-75°S between 21 September and 20 October. MER-RA-2 does not have a negative bias in ozone hole size during 1997 because it used data from NOAA-14 rather than data from NOAA-11. The MERRA ozone hole was also affected by orbital drift in the NOAA-17 satellite and the concomitant loss of SBUV/2 observations at high southern latitudes during the austral springs in 2009 and 2010. MERRA-2 is unaffected during these years because of its assimilation of ozone observations from Aura OMI and MLS.

ERA-40 did not assimilate ozone data in 1989 and 1990. This resulted in a positive bias in ozone concentrations and a very small ozone hole. The ERA-40 model also severely underestimated ozone hole area in 1997, most likely due to a gap in assimilated TCO from the Earthprobe TOMS instrument between August and December that year (**Figure 4.1**; note that NOAA-9 SBUV/2 profiles were assimilated during this timeframe as shown in **Figure 4.2**).



**Figure 4.12:** (a) Ozone hole mean area calculated from TOMS/ OMI observations and the reanalyses for 21 September through 20 October of 1981-2010. (b) Differences between ozone hole mean areas from reanalyses and TOMS/OMI observations ( $R_i$  – observed). Note, no TOMS data were available in 1995. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).

By contrast, the area of the ERA-Interim ozone hole was too large in 1995 (see **Figure 4.14**.). This may be due to a lack of TCO observations for assimilation in ERA-Interim during 1995 (**Figure 4.1**).

#### 4.4.8 Long-term evolution of ozone

Figure 4.13 shows the evolution of deseasonalised TCO anomalies from the reanalyses and assimilated observations from SBUV and TOMS/OMI. Also shown are the differences between the reanalyses and the primary TCO observations they assimilate. Both observational data sets show similar features, including a general trend toward decreasing ozone in the SH high latitudes, consistent with the Antarctic ozone hole depletion discussed in the previous section. However, in Figure 4.13, comparison to the data set assimilated by a given reanalysis is done because differences between the TOMS/ OMI and SBUV data sets show an apparent step change at the beginning of 2004. For completeness, a comprehensive set of plots showing this step change, as well as reanalysis/observation differences separately for each data source, is provided in Figures 4.14 - 4.15.

As expected, reanalyses agree more closely with TCO data that they assimilate than with data that they do not assimilate. For example, MERRA, MERRA-2, and CFSR assimilate SBUV data. The influence of SBUV on these reanalyses can be seen in the QBO-related anomalies in

the tropics (particularly after ~ 1998) that are present in both the SBUV data and in the reanalyses that assimilate it. Differences between these reanalyses and SBUV are smaller in magnitude and more homogeneous in space and time than differences between these reanalyses and TOMS/OMI. The discontinuity in 2004 is particularly pronounced when MERRA and CFSR are compared against TOMS/OMI (Figure 4.15). Similarly, differences between the ECMWF reanalyses and TOMS/OMI are generally more homogeneous and smaller in magnitude than differences between the ECMWF reanalyses and SBUV (Figure 4.14). The period during which ERA-40 did not assimilate any ozone data (1989 - 1990) is also evident in Figure 4.13. The stark contrast between this period and the surrounding years indicates the importance of data assimilation in constraining reanalysis ozone fields.

**Figure 4.16** shows differences between reanalysis ozone fields and SWOOSH satellite limb profiler merged ozone data on two pressure levels (10 hPa and 70 hPa). This plot helps to evaluate disruptions in the temporal homogeneity of reanalysis ozone fields caused by changes in the assimilated observational data, and also provides a partially independent dataset for comparison with the reanalyses. The SWOOSH record is based primarily on v4.2 Aura MLS ozone starting in August 2004, so comparisons with reanalyses that assimilate MLS (i.e., MERRA-2 and ERA-Interim) after that time are not independent. However, none of the observations used to construct the SWOOSH record prior to August 2004 were assimilated by these reanalyses.

At 10 hPa, CSFR, MERRA, and MERRA-2 show the best agreement with observations. At this level, ERA-Interim and JRA-25 have positive biases in both SH and NH midlatitudes, while JRA-55 has a negative bias relative to SWOOSH in the tropics. ERA5 shows similar positive biases in NH and SH mid-to high latitudes as found in ERA-Interim through the 1990s, however these drop to near-zero biases with the introduction of vertically resolved ozone in the early 2000s.

Overall, reanalysis ozone products do not exhibit large discontinuities at 10 hPa. As expected, both MERRA-2 and ERA-Interim show extremely good agreement with SWOOSH during the period in which they assimilate Aura MLS ozone data. Biases in these reanalyses undergo a step change when they start assimilating ozone profiles from Aura MLS ozone. For example, MERRA-2 assimilates Aura MLS data from August 2004 (Figure 4.2), and at that time biases in 10 hPa ozone relative to SWOOSH drop suddenly to less than 5% at all latitudes. This reduction is also apparent in ERA-Interim, which assimilates Aura MLS ozone data during 2008 and then from June 2009 through the present, and also in ERA5, which assimilates Aura MLS from 2004 onwards. Similar sudden reductions in ozone biases relative to SWOOSH are seen in ERA-Interim in both early 2008 and the latter half of 2009.

-- Early online release --



**Figure 4.13:** Departures of TCO from the zonal- and monthly-mean 1981 - 2010 climatology for TOMS/OMI (left column, top row), SBUV (left column, bottom row), and reanalyses (left column, other rows). (Right column) Differences between reanalyses zonal- and monthly-mean TCO and the primary TCO observations that they assimilate. The black contour is at 0 DU. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).

-- Early online release -



**Figure 4.14:** TCO latitude vs. time anomalies for SBUV (top row), differences between TOMS/OMI and SBUV (second from top), and differences between SBUV and the reanalyses (other rows). The black contour is at 0 DU for the SBUV anomaly (top panel) or the dataset being differenced from SBUV (other panels). Anomalies of each dataset being compared to SBUV are contoured cyan (brown) at the 10 (-10) and 20 (-20) DU levels. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).



*Figure 4.15:* TCO latitude vs. time anomalies for TOMS/OMI (top row), differences between SBUV and TOMS/OMI (second from top), and differences between TOMS/OMI and the reanalyses (other rows). The black contour is at 0 DU for the TOMS/ OMI anomaly (top panel) or the dataset being differenced from TOMS/OMI (other panels). Anomalies of each dataset being compared to SBUV are contoured cyan (brown) at the 10 (-10) and 20 (-20) DU levels. Reproduced from Davis et al. (2017).

Differences between reanalysis ozone fields and SWOOSH are larger at 70 hPa. A strong discontinuity in the MERRA-2 time series occurs in mid-2004 when it begins to assimilate Aura MLS ozone data. The same discontinuity is found in ERA5, although the positive bias is somewhat less pronounced in ERA5 than in MER-RA-2. To a lesser extent there is also a discontinuity (in 2008 and again in mid-2009) when ERA-Interim begins assimilating Aura MLS ozone data. The large positive bias in MERRA-2 that starts in mid-2004 is also seen in comparisons to (non-assimilated) ozonesondes (*Wargan et al.*, 2017). This positive bias is related to vertical averaging of the MLS data before assimilation by MER-RA-2 (*Wargan et al.*, 2017).



**Figure 4.16:** Latitude–time evolution of relative differences between ozone reanalyses and the merged SWOOSH ozone record at 10 hPa and 70 hPa. White indicates missing data, and light grey indicates near-zero differences (e.g., between MERRA2 and SWOOSH after mid-2004). Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

For the other reanalyses that don't assimilate MLS, there are generally not strong discontinuities that can be tied to observing system changes. There does seem to be a change in the ERA-Interim differences at the beginning of 2003 when it begins to assimilate vertically resolved data from MIPAS and TCO from SCIAMACHY. Beyond the discontinuities discussed above, at 70 hPa differences between the reanalysis ozone fields and SWOOSH are relatively consistent in time, with negative biases prevailing in JRA-25, CSFR, MERRA, and MERRA-2 (pre-Aura MLS), patchy biases in ERA-Interim, and mostly positive biases in JRA-25 and JRA-55 (especially in the tropics).

#### 4.5 Evaluation of reanalysis water vapour products

In this section, we evaluate reanalysis estimates of water vapour in and above the tropopause layer against available observations. In keeping with the S-RIP remit, this section focuses exclusively on evaluations of reanalysis water vapour products in the upper troposphere and stratosphere.

#### 4.5.1 Zonal mean water vapour cross-sections

**Figure 4.17** shows multi-annual zonal mean water vapour for 2005 - 2010 from the SDI MIM along with relative differences between each reanalysis and the MIM (calculated as  $100 \cdot (R_i - MIM)/MIM$ , where  $R_i$  is a reanalysis field). In contrast to ozone, the reanalyses do not consistently capture the zonal mean vertical distribution of water vapour. The pressure-level products provided by JRA-25 and JRA-55 do not include analysed stratospheric water vapour fields, while CFSR produces a stratosphere that is much too dry (negative biases exceeding 60%). ERA-Interim, ERA5, MERRA, and MERRA-2 show water vapour fields that are close to observations. These three systems resolve the distinct minimum in water vapour mixing ratios just above the tropical tropopause, the second minimum in the lower stratosphere at SH high latitudes, and the increase in water vapour with increasing altitude. The slight negative bias found in ERA-Interim and ERA5 compared to the observations around the stratopause may be a result of relaxing the water vapour towards the UARS climatological value of 6.8 ppmv in this region (see *Section 4.2.3*), which is somewhat lower than the values observed in newer climatologies (*Hegglin et al.*, 2013). In contrast to the other reanalyses, MERRA and MERRA-2 extend up to the lower mesosphere (not shown), and hence capture the water vapour maximum found in the upper stratosphere (*e.g., Hegglin et al.*, 2013).

CFSR is much too dry throughout the stratosphere and does not capture the typical structure of water vapour isopleths. This bias is due in part to the lack of assimilated observations to constrain the water vapour reanalyses at these altitudes and in part to the absence of a methane oxidation parameterization in the forecast model (Section 4.2.3). All reanalyses contain positive biases relative to the SDI MIM at pressures greater than 100hPa (see also Jiang et al., 2015), although this may in part be explained by the increase in measurement uncertainty of satellite limb sounders with decreasing altitude in the upper troposphere (Hegglin et al., 2013). Several studies have shown that Aura MLS contains a dry bias in the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere around 200 hPa (e.g., Davis et al., 2016; Vömel et al., 2007), and similarly a dry bias has been found in the upper troposphere for ACE-FTS (Hegglin et al., 2008). Note, ERA5 shows the best agreement with observations below 100hPa, with somewhat lower positive biases than the rest of the reanalyses.



**Figure 4.17:** Multi-annual zonal mean water vapour latitude-altitude cross-sections averaged over 2005-2010 for the SPARC Data Initiative multi-instrument mean (SDI MIM) (upper left), along with the relative differences between reanalyses and observations as  $(R_i-MIM)/MIM*100$ , where  $R_i$  is a reanalysis field. Also shown in contours are the respective zonal mean climatologies for the different reanalyses. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

#### 4.5.2 Water vapour monthly mean vertical profiles and seasonal cycles

**Figures 4.18a** and **b** show vertical profiles of water vapour for January (2005 - 2010 average) for the reanalyses and the SDI MIM at two different latitudes 40 °N and 70 °S, respectively, along with the relative differences for each reanalysis with respect to the MIM. Figures 18c and d show the seasonal cycles of water vapour for three different pressure levels at 40 °N and 70 °S, respectively. In general, the results shown reinforce the conclusions of the previous section.

The comparisons in Figures 4.18a and b reveal very good agreement (within  $\pm 10$  %) between ERA-Interim, ERA5, MERRA, MERRA-2, and the observations at altitudes above 100 hPa. The 100 hPa level is one of the most important levels for stratospheric water vapour studies, because it is near the level where stratospheric water vapour entry mixing ratios are set in the tropics (Fueglistaler et al., 2009) and because it is near the peak region of the radiative kernel for water vapour in the extratropics (Gettelman et al., 2011). As mentioned in the previous section, water vapour from CSFR is unrealistic in the stratosphere, with values much lower than those observed. The reanalyses show large inconsistencies between their absolute values at altitudes below 100 hPa, leading to sharp increases in their relative differences with respect to the MIM of > 100 %. These relative differences are systematically positive except for in CFSR and JRA-25, pointing towards potential negative biases in the water vapour observations at these altitudes (e.g., Hegglin et al., 2013). The results may also indicate that the reanalyses produce an excessively moist tropical upper troposphere and/or excessive mixing of moist tropospheric air into the extratropical lowermost stratosphere.

The agreement between the reanalyses and observations varies by month, as shown in **Figures 4.18 c-e** and **f-h** for selected pressure levels (250, 100, and 50 hPa) and latitude bands (30°N-50°N and 60°S-80°S). At NH mid-latitudes (30°N-50°N; Figure 4.18c) at 250 hPa, all reanalyses are positively biased relative to the observations by more than 100%, lending further support to the results by Jiang et al. (2015), who compared the reanalyses to Aura MLS alone, which is known to have a negative bias around this altitude (Davis et al., 2016; Hegglin et al., 2013; Vömel et al., 2007). JRA-25 and JRA-55 have the smallest positive biases relative to observations at 250 hPa. At 100 hPa and 50 hPa, ERA-Interim, ERA5, MERRA, and MERRA-2 perform best, with approximately correct mean values, but somewhat underestimated seasonal cycle amplitudes. As noted earlier, a significant portion of the agreement in MERRA and MERRA-2 results from the relaxation of stratospheric water vapour towards a climatology that is based in part on Aura MLS data (which are also included in the SDI MIM). The results of ERA-Interim and ERA5 point towards the physical consistency in the

parameterisations used to determine this prognostic variable in their reanalyses systems. JRA-55 (JRA-25) has mean values that are much too large (small) at 100 hPa. In addition to being too dry at 100 hPa and 50 hPa, CSFR also has incorrect amplitude and phase of the seasonal cycle at these levels.

At SH high latitudes (60°S-80°S; Figure 4.18f-h), all reanalyses show approximately the right phase, but overestimate mean values and amplitudes at 250 hPa, similar to the results at NH mid-latitudes. At 100hPa and 50hPa, ERA-Interim and ERA5 capture the phase and amplitude of the observed seasonal cycle best when compared to the other reanalyses, but exhibit a slight negative bias at 50 hPa. MERRA and MERRA-2 also show quite good agreement in terms of mean value, amplitude, and phase at 100 hPa, but overestimate mean values at 50 hPa, and also show a slight shift in the phase of the seasonal cycle with somewhat early minimum followed by an increase in September that occurs about a month earlier than observations. JRA-25 somewhat underestimates the mean value, but shows a similar phase and amplitude as the observations at 100 hPa. JRA-55 on the other hand, strongly overestimates the amplitude of the seasonal cycle at this level with mean values that are much too high. This JRA-55 positive bias at 100 hPa in the extratropics (of both hemispheres) is due to unrealistically large values in its forecast model stratosphere that are unconstrained by observations and impact the 100 hPa level. CSFR shows too low values at both 100 hPa and 50 hPa, but captures the seasonality somewhat better than it does in the NH mid-latitudes.

#### 4.5.3 Water vapour interannual variability

**Figure 4.19** shows time series of interannual variability in water vapour and its anomalies based on observations and reanalysis products during 2005 - 2010. At 250 hPa in NH midlatitudes (40°N - 60°N), the reanalyses show a much larger amplitude seasonal cycle, with much larger maxima during summer. Generally, the reanalyses follow the observed interannual variability extremely well, especially JRA-25, JRA-55, MERRA, and ERA5. CSFR seems to exhibit an underlying positive trend in its time series. And as noted previously, all reanalyses are wetter than observations at this level by approximately a factor of two.

At 100 hPa in the tropics (a level that is often used to estimate stratospheric water vapour entry mixing ratios), all reanalyses except CSFR and JRA-25 compare reasonably well with the observed seasonal cycle and anomalies. Perhaps surprisingly, JRA-25 captures the interannual anomalies quite well despite being biased negative in its mean value and seasonal cycle amplitude. CSFR shows no clear interannual variability and produces water vapour mean values as low as 0 ppmv. CSFR begins to produce more realistic water vapour concentrations at these levels in 2010, but with values that are larger than those in the observations and other reanalyses. This change is discussed further in *Section 4.5.4*. Note that the SDI MIM for this level only includes Aura MLS and ACE-FTS due to known problems in SCIAMACHY and MIPAS data in this region (Hegglin et al., 2013).

At 50hPa in the SH high latitudes (60°S-80°S), MERRA and MERRA-2 have roughly correct water vapour mean values, whereas ERA-Interim and ERA5 are slightly too low and CFSR is essentially zero before 2010. MERRA and MERRA-2 both place the minimum during austral winter (from dehydration processes in the cold polar vortex) about one month too early. Except for CFSR, the other reanalyses capture the correct structure in the interannual variability, including the prominent positive anomaly in 2010. MERRA and MERRA-2 show less variability than observed, which is unsurprising given their strong relaxation to the climatology.



**Figure 4.18:** Multi-annual mean vertical water vapour profiles over 2005 - 2010 for January at (a) 40°N and (b) 70°S from the SPARC Data Initiative multi-instrument mean (SDI MIM) (black) and the six reanalyses (coloured). Absolute values are shown in the left and relative differences in the right panels for each comparison. Relative differences are calculated as ( $R_i$ -MIM)/MIM\*100, where  $R_i$  is a reanalysis profile. Black dashed lines provide the ±1-sigma uncertainty (as calculated by the standard deviation over all instruments and years available) in the observational mean. Horizontal dashed lines in grey indicate the pressure levels (250, 100, and 50 hPa) for which seasonal cycles are shown in panels (c) - (h) for the two latitude ranges 30°-50°N and 60°-80°S. Grey shading indicates observational uncertainty (±1-sigma) calculated as the standard deviation over all instruments and years available. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).



**Figure 4.19:** Interannual variability (left column) and deseasonalized anomalies (right column) for water vapour during 2005 - 2010 for the SPARC Data Initiative multi-instrument mean (SDI MIM, black) and the six reanalyses (coloured). Results are shown for three different pressure levels and latitude ranges (bottom to top: 50 hPa at 60-80°S, 100 hPa at 20°S - 20°N, and 250 hPa at 40-60°N). Grey shading indicates observational uncertainty (± 1-sigma) calculated as the standard deviation over all instruments and years available. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

#### 4.5.4 Tropical tape recorder in water vapour

Representations of the tropical tape recorder (Mote et al., 1996) provide an additional illustration of problems in reanalysis stratospheric water vapour products. Figure 4.20 shows the time-height evolution of water vapour in reanalyses and the merged SWOOSH observations averaged over the 15°S-15°N tropical band. Anomalies are calculated separately for each data set, relative to the mean seasonal cycle at each level for the period 1992 - 2014 (except ERA-40, which is 1992-2002), when all reanalyses (except ERA-40) overlap. Variations in these fields reflect changes in the mixing ratio of water vapour entering the tropical lower stratosphere, as driven by variations in tropical tropopause temperatures and the subsequent vertical propagation in the ascending branch of the stratospheric overturning circulation. Interannual variability in both water vapour entry mixing ratios and ascent rate (the vertical slope of the signal) is superimposed on this mean seasonal cycle. Although reanalyses do not reproduce observed water vapour concentrations in the stratosphere, most reanalyses do produce a tropical tape recorder signal.

As previously discussed, CFSR (Figure 4.20a) produces water vapour concentrations near zero in the stratosphere for most of the record, although unrealistically wet values appear above 20 hPa at certain times (e.g.; 1995) and 1999). These upper stratospheric wet anomalies (and several others that occurred before 1992) all correspond to transitions in the main CFSR production stream (see Figure 2.2 and Figure 2 of Fujiwara et al., 2017). We hypothesize that these wet anomalies are a remnant of a wet bias in the model initialisation that remains after the ~1-year spinup. Additional step changes in water vapour are evident at the beginning of 2010 and at the beginning of 2011. The latter step change corresponds to the transition from CFSR (CDAS-T382) to CFSv2 (CDAS-T574) at the beginning of 2011. As discussed in Section 4.2.2, CFSv2 is intended as a continuation of CFSR but has differences in model resolution and physics relative to the original system. Although the reasons for the step change at the beginning of 2010 are not known definitively, we note that CFSR was extended for the year 2010 following its original completion over the 1979-2009 time period. This extension used the original CDAS-T382 system but with some slight changes to the forecast model.



**Figure 4.20:** The tropical tape recorder signal as represented in reanalyses and the SWOOSH merged satellite product, defined as the height–time evolution of water vapour averaged over the 15°S-15°N tropical band. Both absolute values (left column) and anomalies relative to the mean water vapour seasonal cycle at each level (right column) are shown. Anomalies are computed separately for each data set. Monthly mean anomalies in tropical (15°S-15°N) cold-point tropopause temperatures calculated from 6-h data on the native vertical resolution of each reanalysis model are shown for context (o). Regions with no data are gray, and off-scale data are white. Updated from Davis et al. (2017).

It is likely that the CFSR 2010 run was performed without a sufficiently long spin-up period, or that a change to the model configuration resulted in the observed water vapour discontinuity beginning in 2010.

ERA-40 and ERA-Interim (**Figure 4.20c, e**) are generally drier than the SWOOSH observations (**Figure 4.20m**), al-though the ERA-Interim represents an evident improvement over ERA-40 in this respect. ERA-5 (Figure 4.20g) agrees best with SWOOSH, both in magnitude and variability. Both MERRA and MERRA-2 (**Figure 4.20i,k**) are close in magnitude to SWOOSH, but this agreement is expected given that both systems relax stratospheric water vapour to a climatology based on Aura MLS and HALOE (*Sections 4.2.7, 4.2.8*).

The reanalyses all produce tape recorder slopes that are more steeper than suggested by the observations, indicating that vertical upwelling in the tropical stratosphere is too strong in reanalyses. Although biases and differences in tropical stratospheric upwelling have been addressed quantitatively for a subset of reanalyses elsewhere (*Abalos et al.*, 2015; *Jiang et al.*, 2015), the SWOOSH data shown in **Figure 4.20** enable a comparison that extends beyond the Aura MLS record. This extension allows for comparison to ERA-40, and shows that ERA-Interim benefits from a much-improved representation of stratospheric water vapour and its variability relative to its predecessor.

Figure 4.20 also shows interannual variability in tropical stratospheric water vapour as represented by the anomaly from the mean seasonal cycle at each level. Interannual variability in the tape recorder signal is related to interannual variability in cold-point tropopause temperatures (Fiugre 4.200), with warm anomalies at the tropopause corresponding to wet anomalies in the tape recorder and vice versa. Although the reanalyses produce almost identical interannual variations in tropical tropopause temperatures over the period considered here, their interannual variations in stratospheric water vapour differ substantially. The strong relaxation to climatology applied in MERRA and MERRA-2 results in very little interannual variability above 60 hPa because of the short nudging timescale for WV (3 days). ERA-40 produces a very large wet anomaly during the 1997 - 1998 El Niño that coherently propagates upwards. This anomaly is wetter than that suggested by SWOOSH and the other reanalyses. SWOOSH and the reanalyses all show a wet anomaly near 100 hPa in the tropics during the 1997-1998 El Niño, but this anomaly does not correspond to a strong warm excursion in cold-point temperature.

*Randel et al.* (2006) reported the occurrence of a sudden drop in stratospheric water vapour that persisted for ~5 years during the early 2000s. This drop is evident in the cold-point temperature and SWOOSH water vapour anomalies (**Figure 4.20n,o**). The reanalyses generally capture the drop in stratospheric WV around 2000, with the caveat that the relaxation to a monthly mean climatology in MERRA and MERRA-2 damps the associated signals above the lowermost stratosphere.

#### 4.6 Summary

In this chapter, we described the basic treatment of ozone and water vapour in reanalyses, and presented comparisons both among reanalyses and between reanalyses and observations (both assimilated and independent). Here we briefly summarize the most influential characteristics and differences in the treatment of ozone and water vapour in reanalyses along with the key results of the intercomparisons.

The treatment of ozone and water vapour varies substantially among reanalyses. Some reanalyses prescribe ozone climatologies and do not treat ozone prognostically (*R1*, *R2*), some reanalyses specify ozone as a boundary condition generated by an offline chemical transport model (JRA-25, JRA-55), and some reanalyses treat ozone as a prognostic variable with parameterised photochemical production and loss (CFSR, ERA-40, ERA-Interim, ERA5, MERRA, and MERRA-2). Only ERA-40, ERA-Interim, and ERA5 contain a parameterization of heterogeneous ozone loss processes.

The reanalyses also assimilate different sets of ozone observations, with generally similar observation usage for reanalyses produced by the same reanalysis centre. All reanalyses that assimilate ozone observations rely heavily on total column ozone observations from some combination of satellites carrying the TOMS and SBUV sensors. Several recent reanalyses (including MERRA-2 and ERA-Interim) use the newest generation of vertically resolved ozone measurements (*e.g.*, Aura MLS).

Reanalyses all assimilate tropospheric humidity information via some combination of radiosondes, satellite radiances, GNSS-RO bending angles, and retrievals of atmospheric hydrological quantities (e.g., total column water vapour or rain rate). None of the reanalyses assimilate WV observations in the stratosphere, although information from tropospheric observations may propagate upward in some systems. Beyond these similarities, the treatment of stratospheric water vapour varies substantially among the reanalyses. For example, the specific cut-off altitude up to which radiosonde humidity data are assimilated varies from one reanalysis to another, using either a fixed pressure level or the diagnosed tropopause. ERA-40, ERA-Interim, and ERA5 are the only reanalyses that include a water vapour source from methane oxidation. MERRA and MERRA-2 relax their fields to a water vapour climatology based on satellite observations (e.g., including Aura MLS), while other reanalyses simply do not provide valid data in the stratosphere (e.g., CSFR, JRA-25, JRA-55, R1, R2). These latter reanalyses prescribe a climatology or constant value for stratospheric water vapour as input to the forecast model radiative transfer code.

Given these differences amongst reanalysis treatments of ozone and WV, it is perhaps unsurprising that comparisons between reanalyses and observations also vary widely. Comparisons against assimilated observations of total column ozone (TCO) show that reanalyses generally reproduce TCO well, within ~10 DU (~3%).

-- Early online release --

Key limitations that result in larger errors and uncertainties include a general lack of TCO data during polar night and the absence of heterogeneous chemistry from most reanalysis ozone schemes (except in ERA-40 and ERA-interim where it is introduced as a simple parameterization activated when the local temperature falls below 195 K). The vertical distributions of stratospheric ozone and WV in reanalyses are unconstrained by observations through most of the record, owing to vertically-resolved data generally not being used in the assimilation systems. The situation for ozone is slightly better than that for WV, because stratospheric ozone observations are assimilated and because the ozone parameterizations are more advanced. Nevertheless, the current parameterisations for stratospheric water vapour implemented in ERA5 show a high level of performance and stark improvements over the water vapour distributions of earlier ECMWF reanalyses.

From the middle to upper stratosphere, reanalysis ozone profiles are within  $\pm 20$ % of MIM of observations from the SPARC Data Initiative, although the comparisons are not truly independent for MERRA-2, ERA-Interim, and ERA5 because they assimilate data from Aura MLS, one of the instruments that contribute to the SPARC Data Initiative dataset. In the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, biases increase to  $\pm 50$ % for ozone.

MERRA-2 and ERA5 perform particularly well for ozone through much of the stratosphere. This is mainly due to the assimilation of the vertically resolved Aura MLS observations, which have helped to address difficulties in reproducing vertical distributions of ozone, particularly during polar night; however, these data are only available since late 2004 and are only assimilated by a few reanalyses. The use of reanalysis ozone for Antarctic ozone hole studies is therefore problematic. The reanalyses produce reasonable ozone holes when observations are available, but the timing and area of reanalysis ozone holes is positively biased when observations are (unavailable or) not assimilated. Also, apart from JRA-55, most reanalyses seem to exhibit a drift in the extent of the ozone hole area when compared to TOMS/OMI observations.

More generally, studies utilizing reanalysis ozone fields to analyse longer-term variations (e.g., trends) should exercise extreme caution. Vertically resolved observations are not available over the entire time period of reanalyses, and the few reanalyses that use these temporally limited observations have significant discontinuities when the assimilation of vertically-resolved observations begins or stops. And while the reanalyses generally do a good job reproducing the TCO observations they assimilate, there remain potentially significant discontinuities associated with both the transition between satellite instruments and the underlying data. Assimilation of vertically-resolved ozone measurements, assimilation of measurements in polar night, and improved chemical parameterization of ozone processes should be pursued by reanalysis centers in order to improve the representation of ozone fields in reanalyses into the future.

None of the reanalyses assimilate observations of stratospheric water vapour, resulting in large differences between reanalyses and independent observations. CFSR has an extreme dry bias in the stratosphere through 2009, with monthly mean values often approaching 0 ppmv.



Chapter 4 Diagnostics Evaluation

Figure 4.21: A summary of the diagnostics evaluated in this chapter.

Although MERRA and MERRA-2 produce reasonable values for stratospheric water vapour, these values represent a strong relaxation to a fixed annual climatology at pressures less than 50hPa. Hence, mid- and upper-stratospheric water vapour does not undergo physically meaningful variations in MER-RA or MERRA-2. ERA-40, ERA-Interim, and ERA5 produce a true "prognostic" water vapour field in the stratosphere. ERA-Interim and ERA5 produce surprisingly reasonable values given that their fields are predominantly controlled by dehydration in the TTL and a very simple parameterization of methane oxidation. In the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, reanalyses are around a factor of two wetter than the SPARC Data Initiative WV measurements used here, although the observations also have relatively large disagreements in this region. Notably, ERA5, possibly due to further refinements in its parameterization for dehydration since ERA-Interim, shows reduced biases in water vapour in this altitude region.

Because of the lack of assimilated observations and the deficiencies in representation of the relevant physical processes, we recommend that reanalysis stratospheric water vapour fields should generally not be used for scientific data analysis, and stress that any examination of these fields must account for their inherent limitations and uncertainties. However, ERA5 water vapour distributions show promising results and further evaluations should be performed to judge the final quality of this reanalysis. Future efforts toward the collection and assimilation of observational data with sensitivity to stratospheric water vapour, the reduction of reanalysis temperature biases in the TTL, and improvements in the representation of processes that control the entry mixing ratios or subsequent evolution of water vapour in the stratosphere could facilitate more reliable stratospheric water vapour fields in reanalyses.

#### 4.7 Key Findings and Recommendations

A summary of the diagnostics evaluated in this chapter is provided in **Figure 4.21**. This figure contains assessments of the reanalysis representations of key diagnostics related to water vapour and ozone, and directs the reader towards the appropriate chapter section for further information. The assessments, while inherently subjective, are intended to provide the reader with an overview of the relative quality of the diagnostics. So, for example, across a given diagnostic the relative performance of the different reanalyses can be compared, and for a given reanalysis the performance across different diagnostics can be compared.

Below, we briefly summarize the key findings from this chapter and recommendations for both use of and improvements to reanalysis ozone and water vapour fields.

#### Key Findings:

- The treatment of ozone and water vapour varies substantially among reanalyses, both in terms of their representation of these species and assimilated observations.
- The latest generation of reanalyses all assimilate satellite total column ozone (TCO) observations, with some including vertically-resolved measurements.
- Currently none of the reanalyses directly assimilate water vapour observations in the stratosphere, although they do assimilate temperature and tropospheric humidity observations that can impact their stratospheric water vapour concentrations.
- Comparisons against assimilated observations of TCO show that reanalyses generally reproduce TCO well in sunlit regions, within ~ 10 DU (~ 3 %).
- The lack of TCO observations in polar night, and lack of representation of heterogeneous chemistry in most reanalyses, leads to relatively larger errors in representing TCO in the Antarctic ozone hole.
- From the middle to upper stratosphere, climatological reanalysis ozone profiles are within ± 20 % of observations.
- Biases are generally larger (~ 50 %) for both water vapour and ozone in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere.
- Significant discontinuities exist in reanalysis water vapour and ozone time series due to transitions in the observing system.

#### Recommendations:

- Users should generally use caution when using reanalysis ozone fields for scientific studies and should check that their results are not reanalysis-dependent.
- Reanalysis stratospheric water vapour fields should generally not be used for scientific data analysis (except perhaps for ERA5). Any examination of these fields must account for their inherent limitations and uncertainties.
- In order to improve reanalysis ozone fields, reanalysis centres should work towards improved chemical parameterisations of ozone as well as assimilation of vertically-resolved ozone measurements (e.g., from limb sounders) and measurements in polar night (e.g., from IR nadir sounders).
- In order to improve reanalysis water vapour fields, future efforts should include the collection and assimilation of observational data with sensitivity to stratospheric water vapour, the reduction of reanalysis temperature biases in the TTL, and improvements in the representation of other processes that affect the stratospheric entry mixing ratio.

#### **Code availability**

Code for creating the common-grid data files and plots are available from the corresponding author upon request.

#### **Data availability**

The reanalysis data files necessary to create the "common grid" data files used here are available through the CREATE project website (https://cds.nccs.nasa.gov/tools-services/create/). Reanalysis total column ozone data was downloaded from the NCAR RDA (https://rda.ucar.edu/). SBUV data are available at https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data\_services/ merged/. TOMS/OMI data are available at https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Aura/data-holdings/OMI/omto3d\_v003.shtml. SPARC Data Initiative data are available at https://zenodo.org/record/4265393#.YKvAHeso\_UI. Aura MLS satellite data are available at https://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/Aura/data-holdings/MLS. SWOOSH data are available at https://www.esrl. noaa.gov/csd/swoosh/.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Karen Rosenlof for valuable comments and suggestions on this manuscript. MF's contribution was financially supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) through Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (26287117 and 16K05548). Work at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, was carried out under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Figures in this chapter (except **Figure 4.21**) are all reproduced or adapted from *Davis et al.* (2017). All these reproductions are made under a creative commons attribution 3.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/

## References

- Abalos, M., B. Legras, F. Ploeger, and W.J. Randel, 2015: Evaluating the advective Brewer-Dobson circulation in three reanalyses for the period 1979-2012. J. Geophys. Res: Atmos. 120, 7534 - 7554, doi: 10.1002/2015JD023182.
- Arguez, A., and R.S. Vose, 2011: The Definition of the Standard WMO Climate Normal The Key to Deriving Alternative Climate Normals. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **92**, 699 704, doi:10.1175/2010BAMS2955.1.
- Bechtold, P., *et al.*, 2004: The simulation of the diurnal cycle of convective precipitation over land in a global model. *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **130**, 3119 3137, doi:10.1256/qj.03.103.
- Bhartia, P.K., and C.W. Wellemeyer, 2002: TOMS-V8 total O3 algorithm. In: *OMI Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document: OMI Ozone Products*, edited by: Bhartia, P. K., ATBD-OMI-02, 15 32.
- Bhartia, P.K., *et al.*, 2013: Solar Backscatter UV (SBUV) total ozone and profile algorithm. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, **6**, 2533 2548, doi: 10.5194/amt-6-2533-2013.
- Bosilovich, M., et al., 2015: MERRA-2: Initial Evaluation of the Climate. In: Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, edited by: Koster, R. D., NASA/TM-2015-104606, 43.
- Butchart, N., and E.E. Remsberg, 1986: The Area of the Stratospheric Polar Vortex as a Diagnostic for Tracer Transport on an Isentropic Surface. J. Atmos. Sci., 43, 1319 1339, doi: 10.1175/1520-0469.
- Cariolle, D., and M. Deque, 1986: Southern-Hemisphere Medium-Scale Waves and Total Ozone Disturbances in a Spectral General-Circulation Model. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **91**, 825 846, doi: 10.1029/Jd091id10p10825.
- Cariolle, D., and H. Teyssédre, 2007: A revised linear ozone photochemistry parameterization for use in transport and general circulation models: multi-annual simulations. *Atm. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 2183 2196,.
- Chipperfield, M.P., L.J. Gray, J.S. Kinnersley, and J. Zawodny, 1994: A Two-Dimensional Model Study of the QBO Signal in SAGE II NO2 and O3. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **21**, 589 592, doi:10.1029/94gl00211.
- Coy, L., et al., 2016: Structure and Dynamics of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in MERRA-2. J. Clim., 29, 5339-5354, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0809.1.
- Davis, S.M., *et al.*, 2016: The Stratospheric Water and Ozone Satellite Homogenized (SWOOSH) database: A long-term database for climate studies. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, **8**, 461 490, doi:10.5194/essd-8-461-2016.
- Dee, D.P., and A.M. Da Silva, 2003: The choice of variable for atmospheric moisture analysis. *Mon. Weather. Rev.*, **131**, 155-171, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2003).
- Dee, D., 2008: Importance of satellites for stratospheric data assimilation. Seminar on *Recent developments in the use of satellite observations in numerical weather prediction*, ECMWF, Reading, UK, 3 7 September 2007.
- Dee, D.P., *et al.*, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **137**, 553 597, doi:10.1002/qj.828.
- Dessler, A.E., and S.M. Davis, 2010: Trends in tropospheric humidity from reanalysis systems. J. Geophys. Res: Atmos., 115, D19127, doi:10.1029/2010JD014192.
- Dethof, A., and E.V. Hólm, 2004: Ozone assimilation in the ERA-40 reanalysis project. Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 130, 2851 2872, doi: 10.1256/qj.03.196.
- Dragani, R., 2011: On the quality of the ERA-Interim ozone reanalyses: comparisons with satellite data. *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **137**, 1312-1326, doi:10.1002/qj.821.
- Ebisuzaki, W., and L. Zhang, 2011: Assessing the performance of the CFSR by an ensemble of analyses. *Clim. Dynam.*, **37**, 2541 2550, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1074-5.
- Flemming, J., et al., 2017: The CAMS interim Reanalysis of Carbon Monoxide, Ozone and Aerosol for 2003-2015. Atm. Chem. Phys., 17, 1945-1983, doi:10.5194/acp-17-1945-2017.
- Flynn, L., 2007: Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Instrument (SBUV/2) Version 8 Ozone Retrieval Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (V8 ATBD), available at: http://ozoneaq.gsfc.nasa.gov/media/docs/SBUV2\_V8\_ATBD\_020207.pdf (accessed: April 2021).
- Flynn, L.E., *et al.*, 2009: Measurements and products from the Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet (SBUV/2) and Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) instruments. *Int. J. Remote. Sens.*, **30**, 4259 4272, doi:10.1080/01431160902825040.
- Fortuin, J.P.F., and U. Langematz, 1995: An Update on the Global Ozone Climatology and on Concurrent Ozone and Temperature Trends. *P. Soc. Photo: Opt. Ins.*, **2311**, 207 - 216, 1995.

Frith, S.M., *et al.*, 2014: Recent changes in total column ozone based on the SBUV Version 8.6 Merged Ozone Data Set. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **119**, 9735 - 9751, doi: 10.1002/2014JD021889.

Fueglistaler, S., et al., 2009: Tropical Tropopause Layer. Rev. Geophys., 47, RG1004, doi: 10.1029/2008RG000267.

- Fujiwara, M., *et al.*, 2017: Introduction to the SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project (S-RIP) and overview of the reanalysis systems. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **17**, 1417-1452, doi:10.5194/acp-17-1417-2017.
- Geer, A.J., *et al.*, 2006: The ASSET intercomparison of ozone analyses: method and first results. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 5445-5474, doi:10.5194/acp-6-5445-2006.
- Gelaro, R., *et al.*, 2017: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2). *J. Clim.*, **30**, 5419-5454, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-16-0758.1.
- Gettelman, A., *et al.*, 2011: The extratropical upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. Rev. Geophys., 49, RG3003, doi:10.1029/2011RG000355.
- Hasebe, F., 1994: Quasi-Biennial Oscillations of Ozone and Diabatic Circulation in the Equatorial Stratosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **51**, 729-745, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<0729:Qboooa>2.0.Co;2.
- Hegglin, M.I., *et al.*, 2006: Measurements of NO, NOy, N2O, and O3 during SPURT: implications for transport and chemistry in the lowermost stratosphere. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 1331-1350, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1331-2006.
- Hegglin, M.I., *et al.*, 2008: Validation of ACE-FTS satellite data in the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere (UTLS) using non-coincident measurements. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **8**, 1483 1499, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1483-2008.
- Hegglin, M.I., *et al.*, 2013: SPARC Data Initiative: Comparison of water vapor climatologies from international satellite limb sounders. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **118**, 11,824 11,846, doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50752.

Hegglin, M.I., *et al.*, 2021: Overview and update of the SPARC Data Initiative: comparison of stratospheric composition measurements from satellite limb sounders. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, **13**, 1855 - 1903, doi: 10.5194/essd-13-1855-2021.

- Hólm, E., et al., 2002: Assimilation and modelling of the hydrological cycle: ECMWF's status and plans. ECMWF Tech. Memo, 383, 2002.
- Hólm, E., 2003: Revision of the ECMWF humidity analysis: Construction of a Gaussian control variable. *Proceedings of the ECMWF/GEWEX Workshop on Humidity Analysis*, Reading, UK, 8-11 July.
- Jiang, J.H., *et al.*, 2015: An assessment of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere water vapor in MERRA, MERRA2, and EC-MWF reanalyses using Aura MLS observations. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **120**, 11,468 - 11,485, doi: 10.1002/2015JD023752.
- Kalnay, E., *et al.*, 1996: The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bull. Am. Met. Soc.*, 77, 437-472, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2.
- Kanamitsu, M., et al., 2002: Ncep-Doe Amip-Ii Reanalysis (R-2), Bull. Am. Met. Soc., 83, 1631-1643, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-83-11-1631.
- Kistler, R., *et al.*, 2001: The NCEP-NCAR 50-year reanalysis: Monthly means CD-ROM and documentation, *Bull. Am. Met. Soc.*, **82**, 247 267, doi:10.1175/1520-0477.
- Kobayashi, S., *et al.*, 2015: The JRA-55 Reanalysis: General Specifications and Basic Characteristics. *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, **93**, 5-48, doi:10.2151/jmsj.2015-001.
- Kramarova, N.A., *et al.*, 2013: Validation of ozone monthly zonal mean profiles obtained from the version 8.6 Solar Back-scatter Ultraviolet algorithm. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **13**, 6887 6905, doi:10.5194/acp-13-6887-2013.
- Levelt, P.F., et al., 2006: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Ieee T. Geosci. Remote, 44, 1093 1101, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2006.872333.
- Ling, X.D., and J. London, 1986: The Quasi-Biennial Oscillation of Ozone in the Tropical Middle Stratosphere a One-Dimensional Model. J. Atmos. Sci., 43, 3122 - 3137, doi:10.1175/1520-0469.
- Livesey, N.J., *et al.*, 2017: Version 4.2x Level 2 Data Quality and Description Document. *JPL D-33509* Rev. E, available at: https://mls.jpl.nasa.gov/data/v4-2\_data\_quality\_document.pdf.
- Manney, G.L., R.W. Zurek, A. O'Neill, and R. Swinbank, 1994: On the motion of air through the stratospheric polar vortex. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **51**, 2973 - 2994, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<2973:OTMOAT>2.0.CO;2.
- Manney, G.L., *et al.*, 1999: Polar vortex dynamics during spring and fall diagnosed using trace gas observations from the Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy instrument. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **104**, 18841 - 18866, doi: 10.1029/1999jd900317.
- Manney, G.L., *et al.*, 2007: Solar occultation satellite data and derived meteorological products: Sampling issues and comparisons with Aura Microwave Limb Sounder. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **112**, D24S50, doi:10.1029/2007jd008709.
- Manney, G.L., *et al.*, 2011: Jet characterization in the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere (UTLS): applications to climatology and transport studies. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 6115-6137, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6115-2011.
- McCarty, W., et al., 2016: MERRA-2 Input Observations: Summary and Initial Assessment. NASA Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, NASA/TM-2016-104606, 46, 61.
- McCormack, J.P., S.D. Eckermann, D.E. Siskind, and T.J. McGee, 2006: CHEM2D-OPP: A new linearized gas-phase ozone photochemistry parameterization for high-altitude NWP and climate models. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 4943-4972, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4943-2006.

- McLinden, C.A., S. Tegtmeier, and V. Fioletov, 2009: Technical Note: A SAGE-corrected SBUV zonal-mean ozone data set. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **9**, 7963 7972, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7963-2009.
- McPeters, R., *et al.*, 2008: Validation of the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument total column ozone product. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **113**, D15S14. doi:10.1029/2007jd008802.
- McPeters, R.D., et al., 2013: The version 8.6 SBUV ozone data record: An overview. J. Geophys. Res: Atmos., 118, 8032 8039, doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50597.
- Mote, P.W., et al., 1996: An atmospheric tape recorder: The imprint of tropical tropopause temperatures on stratospheric water vapor. J. Geophys. Res: Atmos., 101, 3989 4006, doi: 10.1029/95jd03422.
- Neu, J.L., et al., 2014: The SPARC Data Initiative: Comparison of upper troposphere/lower stratosphere ozone climatologies from limb-viewing instruments and the nadir-viewing Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer. J. Geophys. Res: Atmos., 119, 6971 - 6990, doi: 10.1002/2013JD020822.
- Oikonomou, E.K., and A. O'Neill, 2006: Evaluation of ozone and water vapor fields from the ECMWF reanalysis ERA-40 during 1991–1999 in comparison with UARS satellite and MOZAIC aircraft observations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, D14109, doi: 10.1029/2004JD005341.
- Onogi, K., et al., 2007: The JRA-25 reanalysis. J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn., 85, 369-432, doi: 10.2151/Jmsj.85.369.
- Paltridge, G., A. Arking, and M. Pook, 2009: Trends in middle- and upper-level tropospheric humidity from NCEP reanalysis data. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, **98**, 351 - 359, doi: 10.1007/s00704-009-0117-x.
- Pan, L.L., *et al.*, 2012: Commentary on using equivalent latitude in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. *Atm. Chem. Phys.*, **12**, 9187-9199, doi:10.5194/acp-12-9187-2012.
- Parrish, A., *et al.*, 2014: Diurnal variations of stratospheric ozone measured by ground-based microwave remote sensing at the Mauna Loa NDACC site: measurement validation and GEOSCCM model comparison. *Atm. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 7255-7272, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7255-2014.
- Poli, P., 2010: List of observations assimilated in ERA-40 and ERA-Interim (v1.0). *ERA Report Series*, **4**, 25p. available at: https://www.ecmwf.int/en/elibrary/11692-list-observations-assimilated-era-40-and-era-interim-v10 (accessed: April 2021).
- Randel, W.J., *et al.*, 1998: Seasonal cycles and QBO variations in stratospheric CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O observed in UARS HALOE data. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **55**, 163 185, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055<0163:SCAQVI>2.0.CO;2.
- Randel, W.J., *et al.*, 2006: Decreases in stratospheric water vapor after 2001: Links to changes in the tropical tropopause and the Brewer-Dobson circulation. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **111**, D12312, doi:10.1029/2005jd006744.
- Rienecker, M.M., et al., 2011: MERRA: NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications. J. Climate, 24, 3624 - 3648, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1.
- Saha, S., et al., 2010: The Ncep Climate Forecast System Reanalysis. Bull. Am. Met. Soc., 91, 1015-1057, doi:10.1175/2010Bams3001.1.
- Saha, S., et al., 2014: The NCEP Climate Forecast System Version 2. J. Climate, 27, 2185 2208, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00823.1.
- Sakazaki, T., et al., 2013: Diurnal ozone variations in the stratosphere revealed in observations from the Superconducting Submillimeter-Wave Limb-Emission Sounder (SMILES) on board the International Space Station (ISS). *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **118**, 2991 3006, doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50220.
- Santee, M.L., *et al.*, 2011: Trace gas evolution in the lowermost stratosphere from Aura Microwave Limb Sounder measurements. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **116**, D18306, doi: 10.1029/2011JD015590.
- Shibata, K., M. Deushi, T.T. Sekiyama, and H. Yoshimura, 2005: De-velopment of an MRI chemical transport model for the study of stratospheric chemistry. *Pap. Meteorol. Geophys.*, **55**, 75 119, doi: 10.2467/mripapers.55.75.
- SPARC, 2017: The SPARC Data Initiative: Assessment of stratospheric trace gas and aerosol climatologies from satellite limb sounders. By M. I. Hegglin and S. Tegtmeier (eds.), *SPARC Report* No. 8, *WCRP*-5/2017, available at www.sparc-climate.org/publications/sparc-reports/, doi:10.3929/ethz-a-010863911.
- Stajner, I., *et al.*, 2008: Assimilated ozone from EOS-Aura: Evaluation of the tropopause region and tropospheric columns. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **113**, D16S32, doi:10.1029/2007JD008863.
- Takacs, L. L., Suárez, M. J., and Todling, R.: Maintaining atmospheric mass and water balance in reanalyses, Q J Roy Meteor Soc, 142, 1565-1573, 10.1002/qj.2763, 2016.
- Tegtmeier, S., et al., 2013: SPARC Data Initiative: A comparison of ozone climatologies from international satellite limb sounders. J. Geophys. Res: Atmos., 118, 12229 12247, doi:10.1002/2013JD019877.
- Thornton, H.E., *et al.*, 2009: The ASSET intercomparison of stratosphere and lower mesosphere humidity analyses, *Atm. Chem. Phys.*, **9**, 995-1016, doi:10.5194/acp-9-995-2009.
- Tompkins, A.M., K. Gierens, and G. Radel, 2007: Ice supersaturation in the ECMWF integrated forecast system. *Q. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*, **133**, 53-63, doi:10.1002/qj.14.

Untch, A., A. Simmons, M. Hortal, and C. Jakob, 1998: Increased stratospheric resolution in the ECMWF forecasting system. *Proceedings of the workshop on chemical data assimilation*, KNMI de Bilt, Holland.

Uppala, S.M., et al., 2005: The ERA-40 re-analysis. Q. J. Roy. Met. Soc., 131, 2961 - 3012, doi: 10.1256/qj.04.176.

- Vömel, H., *et al.*, 2007: Validation of Aura Microwave Limb Sounder water vapor by balloon-borne Cryogenic Frost point Hygrometer measurements. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **112**, D24S37, doi:10.1029/2007JD008698.
- Wargan, K., *et al.*, 2015: The global structure of upper troposphere-lower stratosphere ozone in GEOS-5: A multiyear assimilation of EOS Aura data. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **120**, 2013 - 2036, doi:10.1002/2014JD022493.
- Wargan, K., *et al.*, 2017: Evaluation of the Ozone Fields in NASA's MERRA-2 Reanalysis. *J. Clim.*, **30**, 2961 2988, doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-16-0699.1.
- Waters, J.W., *et al.*, 2006: The Earth Observing System Microwave Limb Sounder (EOS MLS) on the Aura satellite. *Ieee T. Geosci. Remote.*, **44**, 1075 1092, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2006.873771.
- Wu, W.S., R.J. Purser, and D.F. Parrish, 2002: Three-dimensional variational analysis with spatially inhomogeneous covariances. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 130, 2905 - 2916, doi: 10.1175/1520-0493.
- Yan, X.L., et al., 2016: Validation of Aura MLS retrievals of temperature, water vapour and ozone in the upper troposphere and lower-middle stratosphere over the Tibetan Plateau during boreal summer. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 3547 - 3566, doi: 10.5194/amt-9-3547-2016, 2016.
- Zawodny, J.M., and M.P. Mccormick, 1991: Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment-Ii Measurements of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillations in Ozone and Nitrogen-Dioxide. *J. Geophys. Res: Atmos.*, **96**, 9371 - 9377, doi:10.1029/91jd00517.

### **Major abbreviations and terms**

10.14	
1D-Var	1-dimensional variational data assimilation scheme
AIRS	Atmospheric Infrared Sounder
ATMS	Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder
ATOVS	Advanced TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder
Aura	A satellite in the EOS A-Train satellite constellation
CAMSiRA	Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service interim Reanalysis
CDAS	Climate Data Assimilation System
CFC	chlorofluorocarbon
CFSR	Climate Forecast System Reanalysis of NCEP
CFSv2	Climate Forecast System, version 2
CHEM2D	The NRL 2-Dimensional photochemical model
CHEM2D-OPP	CHEM2D Ozone Photochemistry Parameterization
CREATE	Collaborative REAnalysis Technical Environment
CrIS	Cross-track Infrared Sounder
СТМ	Chemical transport model
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
EOS	NASA's Earth Observing System
EqL	Equivalent Latitude
ERA-15	ECMWF 15-year reanalysis
ERA-40	ECMWF 40-year reanalysis
ERA5	A forthcoming reanalysis developed by ECMWF
ERA-I / ERA-Interim	ECMWF interim reanalysis
GCM	Global Circulation Model

-- Early online release --

CNSS-RO         Global Navigation Satellite System Radio Occultation           GOME         Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment           HALOE         High-resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder           IASI         Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer           IR         Infrared           JMA         Japan Meteorological Agency           JRA-35         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis           JRA-55         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations           JRA-55         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis for Research           MERRA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPA5         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MIS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (UMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSS         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Centers for Amospheric Research           NGEP         National Centers for Invisopheric Administration           NCEP         National Centers for Invisopheric Research           NGT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Oplical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System	GNSS-R0Global Navigation Satellite System Radio OccultationGOMEGlobal Ozone Monitoring ExperimentHALOEHalogen Occultation ExperimentHRSHigh-resolution Infrared Radiation SounderIASIInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerIRInfraredJRAJapan Meteorological AgencyJRAJapanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-S5AJapanese 25-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-S5AJapanese 55-year Reanalysis for ResearchMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for ResearchMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for ResearchMIPASMicheowave Limb SounderMILSMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationNCARNational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationNCARNational Center for Atmospheric AdministrationNGAANational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNGAQone Depleting SubstanceOMOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIOzone Monitoring		
GONE         Global Coce Monitoring Experiment           HALOE         Halogen Occultation Experiment           HIRS         High-resolution Infrared Raliation Sounder           IASI         Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer           IR         Infrared           JMA         Japan Meteorological Agency           JRA-25         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis           JRA-55MD         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only           JRA-55C         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis for Research           MERRA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MIS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute UMAI Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSJ         Microwave Gounding Unit           NASA         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCCP         National Center for Atmospheric Administration           NCA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRL         Navalasearch Laboratory           NRL	GOMEGlobal Ozone Monitoring ExperimentHALOEHalogen Occultation ExperimentHRISHigh-resolution Infrared Radiation SounderIASIInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerIRInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerJRAJapanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-25Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55AMIPJapanese 55-year ReanalysisJRA-55AMIPJapanese 55-year Reanalysis Similating Conventional observations onlyMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for ResearchMIMMMulti Instrument MeanMIMAMulti Instrument MeanMIPASMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMISAMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMISAMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMISAMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMISAMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationNCARNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANRINavianal Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANRINational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANRINavianal Search LaboratoryNRINavia Research LaboratoryNRINavia Research LaboratoryNRINavia Research LaboratoryPiptyparts per million by volumePiptyparts per million by volume<	GNSS-RO	Global Navigation Satellite System Radio Occultation
HALOEHalogen Occultation ExperimentHIRSHigh-resolution Infrared Radiation SounderIASInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterformeterIRInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterformeterJRAJapan Meteorological AgencyJRA-25Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 25-year Reanalysis Similating Conventional observations onlyMEBRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for ResearchMIMMulti Instrument MeanMIMAMulti Instrument MeanMIMAMulti Instrument MeanMIR-CCMMeteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1MSUMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Center for Amospheric ResearchNCRANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCRANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCRANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCRDNational Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANAThern HemisphereNOAANational Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANRINaval Research LaboratoryNRInear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSINSOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System0BOquast-biennial oscillationpptvparts per million by volumePptvparts per tillion by volumeRIReaterological Research Radiometer <t< td=""><td>HALOEHologen Occultation ExperimentHIRSHigh-resolution Infrared Radiation SounderIASIInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerIRInfraredJMAJapan Meteorological AgencyJRA-25Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis for ResearchMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for ResearchMIMAMulti Instrument MeanMIPA5Micheson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMILSMicrowave Eumb SounderMRI-CCM1Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1MSJMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCRRNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationpptvparts per million by volumepptvparts per tillion by solumeRHRelative HumidityRSRoot Mean SquareSIGUASpare dat ArchiveRHRelative Humid</td><td>GOME</td><td>Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment</td></t<>	HALOEHologen Occultation ExperimentHIRSHigh-resolution Infrared Radiation SounderIASIInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerIRInfraredJMAJapan Meteorological AgencyJRA-25Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis for ResearchMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for ResearchMIMAMulti Instrument MeanMIPA5Micheson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMILSMicrowave Eumb SounderMRI-CCM1Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1MSJMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCRRNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationpptvparts per million by volumepptvparts per tillion by solumeRHRelative HumidityRSRoot Mean SquareSIGUASpare dat ArchiveRHRelative Humid	GOME	Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment
HIRS         High-resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder           IASI         Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer           IR         Infrared           JMA         Japan Meteorological Agency           JRA-25         Japanese 55 year Reanalysis           JRA-55         Japanese 55 year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations           JRA-55         Japanese 55 year Reanalysis sasimilating Conventional observations only           MERRA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Micheson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MIS         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCAR         National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and Infrared Imaging System           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and Infrared Imaging System           OMI <td>HIRSHigh-resolution Infrared Radiation SounderIASIInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerIRInfraredJMAJapannes ES-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 2S-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55CJapanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55CJapanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55CJapanese 5S-year Reanalysis of ResearchMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2MIMMulti Instrument MeanMIPASMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMILSCMicrowave Limb SounderMRI-CCMMeteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1MSUMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCARNational Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Cecaric and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Dopleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-berinal oscillationppmvparts per trillion by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeRTRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean Square<!--</td--><td>HALOE</td><td>Halogen Occultation Experiment</td></td>	HIRSHigh-resolution Infrared Radiation SounderIASIInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerIRInfraredJMAJapannes ES-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 2S-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55CJapanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55CJapanese 5S-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55CJapanese 5S-year Reanalysis of ResearchMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2MIMMulti Instrument MeanMIPASMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMILSCMicrowave Limb SounderMRI-CCMMeteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1MSUMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCARNational Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Cecaric and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Dopleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-berinal oscillationppmvparts per trillion by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeRTRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean Square </td <td>HALOE</td> <td>Halogen Occultation Experiment</td>	HALOE	Halogen Occultation Experiment
IASI     Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer       IR     Infrared       JMA     Japan Meteorological Agency       JRA-25     Japanese 25-year Reanalysis       JRA-55     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-55C     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MINM     Multi Instrument Mean       MINA     Multi Instrument Administration       NCEP     National Centers for Environmetal Prediction of the NOAA       NH     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Centers for Environmetal Prediction of the NOAA       NRI     near-real time   <	IASIInfrared Atmospheric Sounding InterferometerIRInfraredJMAJapan Meteorological AgencyJRA-25Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 25-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-55Japanese 55-year Reanalysis solicit on the second on	HIRS	High-resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder
IR         Infrared           JMA         Japan Meteorological Agency         JAR-25         Japanese 25-year Reanalysis           JRA-55         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations         JRA-55           JRA-55         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only           MERA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MIS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MSU         Microwave Limb Sounder           MSU         Microwave Limb Sounder           MSU         Microwave Limb Sounder           NSU         Microwave Conding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Cocanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRI         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System	IR     Infrared       JMA     Japan Meteorological Agency       JRA-25     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis       JRA-55     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-55A     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2       MIM     Multi Instrument Mean       MPAS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MLSC     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCM1     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCAR     National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NH     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration       NRT     near-real time       ODS     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       OBO     quasi-biennial oscillation       pptv     parts per million by volume       R1     NCEP-OC Reanalysis 1       R2     NCEP-OCAR Reanalysis 1       R2     NCEP-OCAR Reanalysis 1 <td>IASI</td> <td>Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer</td>	IASI	Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer
JMA         Japan Meteorological Agency           JRA-25         Japanese 25-year Reanalysis           JRA-55         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis           JRA-55AMIP         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations           JRA-55AMIP         Japanese 55-year Reanalysis for Research           MERRA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MIS         Microwave Umb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Center for Atmospheric Administration           NACAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           pptv<	JMAJapan Meteorological AgencyJRA-25Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55Japanese 25-year ReanalysisJRA-55MUPJapanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-5SCJapanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-5SCJapanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulationsJRA-5SCJapanese 55-year Reanalysis for ResearchMERRAModern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2MIMMulti Instrument MeanMIPASMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMLSMicrowave Limb SounderMRI-CCM1Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1MSJMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCEPNational Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeOD5Ozone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per trillion by volumeRINcEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2Roct Maconal Carder Company 2SILISRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCLMAC(HYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDI <td>IR</td> <td>Infrared</td>	IR	Infrared
JRA-25     Japanese 25-year Reanalysis       JRA-55     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-55C     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-55C     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MIRM     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPA5     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MIPA5     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCM1     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Limb Sounder       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Aeronautics and Space Administration       NCAR     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCH     Nothern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NH     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration       NRI     Naval Research Laboratory       NRT     near-real time       ODS     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       QBO     quasi-biennial ocalisation       ppmv     parts per million by volume       R1     NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 1	JRA-25     Japanese 25 year Reanalysis       JRA-55     Japanese 55 year Reanalysis       JRA-55C     Japanese 55 year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MIRA     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPAS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MILS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MILS     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCM1     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Aeronautics and Space Administration       NCCRP     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCEP     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NRL     Navional Center for Atmospheric Administration       NCAR     National Center for Atmospheric Administration       NRL     Naval Research Laboratory       NRL     Naval Research Laboratory       NRT     near-real time       ODS     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       QBO     quasi-biennial oscillation       ppmv     parts per million by volume       pptv     parts per trillion by volume       R1 <td>JMA</td> <td>Japan Meteorological Agency</td>	JMA	Japan Meteorological Agency
JRA-55     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis       JRA-55SAMIP     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-55C     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MIM     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPAS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MLS     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCMI     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Aeronautics and Space Administration       NCAR     National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NH     Nothern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NHL     National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NHL     National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NHL     National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NRT     near-real time       ODS     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Depleti	JRA-55     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-55C     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations only       JRA-5SC     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis for Research       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2       MIM     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPAS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MILS     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCM1     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Aeronautics and Space Administration       NCCP     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCEP     National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NH     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NH     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration       NRT     near-real time       ODS     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       QBO     quasi-biennial oscillation       Ppmv     parts per trillion by volume	JRA-25	Japanese 25-year Reanalysis
JRA-55AMIIP     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-55C     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis for Research       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2       MIM     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPAS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MIX     Multi Instrument Mean       MIRA     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPAS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MIS     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCM1     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Aeronautics and Space Administration       NCAR     National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA       NH     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration       NRL     Naval Research Laboratory       NRT     near-real time       ODS     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       OBO     quasi-biennial oscillation       ppmv     parts per trillino by volume       pptv     parts per trillino by volume       R1     NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 1       R2     RCEP-DOE Reanalysis 1	JRA-SSAMIP     Japanese S5-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations       JRA-SSC     Japanese S5-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MERRA-2     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2       MIM     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPAS     Microwave Limb Sounder       MSU     Microwave Counding Unit       NASA     National Aeronautics and Space Administration       NCAR     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCEP     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCAR     National Center for Atmospheric Administration       NRL     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Center for Importance       ODS     Ozone Depleting Substance       ODM     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       QBO     quasi-biennial oscillation       ppmv     parts per million by volume       Rt     Relative Humidity       RH     Relative Humidity       RMS     Root Mean Square	JRA-55	Japanese 55-year Reanalysis
JRA-55C     Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MIM     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPA5     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MLS     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCM1     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Acenoautics and Space Administration       NCAR     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCAR     National Center for Atmospheric Administration       NOAA     National Center for Atmospheric Administration       NRL     Naval Research Laboratory       NRL     Naval Research Laboratory       NRT     near-teal time       OD5     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       QBO     quasi-biennial oscillation       ppmv     parts per tillion by volume       Rt     Reearch Data Achive       RtH     Relative Humidity       RtB     Relative Humidity       RtB     Relative Humidity       RtS     Root Mean Square       SUV & SBUV/2     Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer       SCLMAACHY	JRA-SSC     Japanese S5-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only       MERRA     Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research       MIM     Multi Instrument Mean       MIPAS     Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding       MLS     Microwave Limb Sounder       MRI-CCM1     Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1       MSU     Microwave Sounding Unit       NASA     National Aeronautics and Space Administration       NCCR     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCEP     National Center for Atmospheric Research       NCEP     National Center for Atmospheric Administration       NRL     Northern Hemisphere       NOAA     National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration       NRL     Naval Research Laboratory       NRT     near-real time       ODS     Ozone Depleting Substance       OMI     Ozone Monitoring Instrument       OSIRIS     Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System       QBO     quasi-biennial oscillation       ppmv     parts per million by volume       pptv     parts per million by volume       pptv     parts per million by volume       RIA     Research Data Archive       RH     Relative Humidity       RMS     Root Mean Square       SBUV&	JRA-55AMIP	Japanese 55-year Reanalysis based on atmosphere-only simulations
MERRA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research           MERRA-2         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Administration           NOAA         National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Ceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Navainal Ceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRI         Northern Hemisphere           ODS         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           ODSI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           ODSI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           ODSI         Optical Spectrograph and Infradel Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           pptv         parts per trillion by volume <t< td=""><td>MERRA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research           MIRRA-2         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           pptv         parts per million by volume           pptv         parts per million by volume           RIA         Relative Humidit</td><td>JRA-55C</td><td>Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only</td></t<>	MERRA         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research           MIRRA-2         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           pptv         parts per million by volume           pptv         parts per million by volume           RIA         Relative Humidit	JRA-55C	Japanese 55-year Reanalysis assimilating Conventional observations only
MERRA-2         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           ptv         parts per million by volume           RI         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-ODE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive	MERRA-2         Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2           MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Cecanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DDE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SUV & SBUV/2 <td>MERRA</td> <td>Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research</td>	MERRA	Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research
MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Cecanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per trillion by volume           pptv         parts per trillion by volume           R1         NCEP-NCR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Kean	MIM         Multi Instrument Mean           MIPAS         Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding           MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           pptv         parts per million by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-IOE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SUV& & SBUV/2         Solar Backs	MERRA-2	Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research 2
MIPASMichelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric SoundingMLSMicrowave Limb SounderMRI-CCM1Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1MSUMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationNCARNational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCEPNational Center for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumePtVparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-IOC Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Kean SquareSBUV& SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-Iroposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateSFIFStratosphere-Froosphere SchargeSMI or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere SchargeSMI or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave Imager <tr< td=""><td>MIPAS       Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding         MLS       Microwave Limb Sounder         MRI-CCM1       Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1         MSU       Microwave Sounding Unit         NASA       National Aeronautics and Space Administration         NCCAR       National Center for Atmospheric Research         NCEP       National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA         NH       Northern Hemisphere         NOAA       National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration         NRL       Naval Research Laboratory         NRT       near-real time         ODS       Ozone Depleting Substance         OMI       Ozone Monitoring Instrument         OSIRIS       Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System         QBO       quasi-biennial oscillation         ppmv       parts per million by volume         Ptv       parts per trillion by volume         R1       NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1         R2       Rober Mean Square         SBUV       Soan Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer         SCIAMACHY       Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography         SDI       SPARC Data Initiative         SEVIRI       Spinning Enha</td><td>MIM</td><td>Multi Instrument Mean</td></tr<>	MIPAS       Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding         MLS       Microwave Limb Sounder         MRI-CCM1       Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1         MSU       Microwave Sounding Unit         NASA       National Aeronautics and Space Administration         NCCAR       National Center for Atmospheric Research         NCEP       National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA         NH       Northern Hemisphere         NOAA       National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration         NRL       Naval Research Laboratory         NRT       near-real time         ODS       Ozone Depleting Substance         OMI       Ozone Monitoring Instrument         OSIRIS       Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System         QBO       quasi-biennial oscillation         ppmv       parts per million by volume         Ptv       parts per trillion by volume         R1       NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1         R2       Rober Mean Square         SBUV       Soan Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer         SCIAMACHY       Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography         SDI       SPARC Data Initiative         SEVIRI       Spinning Enha	MIM	Multi Instrument Mean
MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Navala Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           Q8O         quasi-biennial oscillation           pptv         parts per million by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DDE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SUV& SBUV/2         Solar Backscatter Utraviolet Radiometer           SCLMACHY         Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography	MLS         Microwave Limb Sounder           MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           pptv         parts per trillion by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SEUV & SBUV/2         Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer           SCIAMACHY         Scanning Imaging	MIPAS	Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding
MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           pptv         parts per million by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-OC Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SUV& & SBUV/2         Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer           SCLMMACHY         Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography           SDI         SPARC Data Initiative <td< td=""><td>MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per trillion by volume           pptv         parts per trillion by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SBUV &amp; SBUV/2         Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer           SCIAMACHY         Scanning Imaging</td><td>MLS</td><td>Microwave Limb Sounder</td></td<>	MRI-CCM1         Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1           MSU         Microwave Sounding Unit           NASA         National Aeronautics and Space Administration           NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per trillion by volume           pptv         parts per trillion by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SBUV & SBUV/2         Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer           SCIAMACHY         Scanning Imaging	MLS	Microwave Limb Sounder
MSU       Microwave Sounding Unit         NASA       National Aeronautics and Space Administration         NCAR       National Center for Atmospheric Research         NCEP       National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA         NH       Northern Hemisphere         NOAA       National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration         NRL       Naval Research Laboratory         NRT       near-real time         ODS       Ozone Depleting Substance         OMI       Ozone Monitoring Instrument         OSIRIS       Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System         QBO       quasi-biennial oscillation         ppmv       parts per million by volume         R1       NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1         R2       NCEP-DDE Reanalysis 2         RDA       Relative Humidity         RMS       Root Mean Square         SBUV & SBUV/2       Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer         SCIAMACHY       Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography         SPARC       Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate         SFNIP       SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project         SSU       Stratosphere-troposphere Exchange         SU       Stratosphere-troposphere Exchange	MSUMicrowave Sounding UnitNASANational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationNCARNational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCEPNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumeptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSSUV& SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	MRI-CCM1	Meteorological Research Institute (JMA) Chemistry Climate Model, version 1
NASANational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationNCARNational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCEPNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumePtvparts per million by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSUV& SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUJStratosphere-troposphere ExchangeSUUStratosphere-troposphere ExchangeSUUStratosphere-troposphere Exchange	NASANational Aeronautics and Space AdministrationNCARNational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCEPNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DC Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSEUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCLAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSFURISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern Hemisphere	MSU	Microwave Sounding Unit
NCAR         National Center for Atmospheric Research           NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           Ptv         parts per trillion by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SBUV & SBUV/2         Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer           SCIAMACHY         Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography           SD1         SPARC Data Initiative           SEVIRI         Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager           SH         Southern Hemisphere           SPARC         Stratosphere-troposphere Proc	NCARNational Center for Atmospheric ResearchNCEPNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-DCR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DCR Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCEP         National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA           NH         Northern Hemisphere           NOAA         National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration           NRL         Naval Research Laboratory           NRT         near-real time           ODS         Ozone Depleting Substance           OMI         Ozone Monitoring Instrument           OSIRIS         Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System           QBO         quasi-biennial oscillation           ppmv         parts per million by volume           ptv         parts per trillion by volume           R1         NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1           R2         NCEP-DDE Reanalysis 2           RDA         Research Data Archive           RH         Relative Humidity           RMS         Root Mean Square           SBUV & SBUV/2         Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer           SCIAMACHY         Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography           SDI         SPARC Data Initiative           SEVIRI         Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager           SH         Southern Hemisphere           SPARC         Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate           SPARC         Stratosp	NCEPNational Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAANHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSFNPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	NCAR	National Center for Atmospheric Research
NHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSUStratosphere: Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere: Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere: Sounding Unit	NHNorthern HemisphereNOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumeptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	NCEP	National Centers for Environmental Prediction of the NOAA
NOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSFRCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSU/ or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSSUStratosphere: Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere: Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere: Sounding Unit	NOAANational Oceanic and Atmospheric AdministrationNRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSFNRCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	NH	Northern Hemisphere
NRL       Naval Research Laboratory         NRT       near-real time         ODS       Ozone Depleting Substance         OMI       Ozone Monitoring Instrument         OSIRIS       Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System         QBO       quasi-biennial oscillation         ppmv       parts per million by volume         PptV       parts per trillion by volume         R1       NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1         R2       NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2         RDA       Research Data Archive         RH       Relative Humidity         RMS       Root Mean Square         SBUV & SBUV/2       Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer         SCIAMACHY       Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography         SDI       SPARC Data Initiative         SEVIRI       Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager         SH       Southern Hemisphere         SPARC       Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate         S-RIP       SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project         SSW/ or SSMI       Special Sensor Microwave Imager         SSU       Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange         SW/ or SSMI       Special Sensor Microwave Imager         SSU       Stratos	NRLNaval Research LaboratoryNRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUStratospherei Counding UnitSTEStratospherei Counding UnitSTEStratospherei Counding Unit	NRTnear-real timeODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	NRL	Naval Research Laboratory
ODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUStratospheric Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	ODSOzone Depleting SubstanceOMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	NRT	near-real time
OMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	OMIOzone Monitoring InstrumentOSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSHSouthern HemisphereSHSouthern HemisphereS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	ODS	Ozone Depleting Substance
OSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV& SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUStratospheric Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSUStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	OSIRISOptical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging SystemQBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	ОМІ	Ozone Monitoring Instrument
QBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSU/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	QBOquasi-biennial oscillationppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	OSIRIS	Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System
ppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSU/1 or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	ppmvparts per million by volumepptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	QBO	quasi-biennial oscillation
pptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	pptvparts per trillion by volumeR1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	ppmv	parts per million by volume
R1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/1 or SSM1Special Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeCWOOCUStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	R1NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	pptv	parts per trillion by volume
R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	R2NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	R1	NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis 1
RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	RDAResearch Data ArchiveRHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	R2	NCEP-DOE Reanalysis 2
RHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	RHRelative HumidityRMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	RDA	Research Data Archive
RMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSUStratospheric Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	RMSRoot Mean SquareSBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	RH	Relative Humidity
SBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	SBUV & SBUV/2Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet RadiometerSCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	RMS	Root Mean Square
SCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSTEStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	SCIAMACHYScanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric ChartographySDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	SBUV & SBUV/2	Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer
SDISPARC Data InitiativeSEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratospheric Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSWOOGUStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	SDI       SPARC Data Initiative         SEVIRI       Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager         SH       Southern Hemisphere         SPARC       Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate         S-RIP       SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	SCIAMACHY	Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography
SEVIRISpinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed ImagerSHSouthern HemisphereSPARCStratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in ClimateS-RIPSPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison ProjectSSM/I or SSMISpecial Sensor Microwave ImagerSSUStratospheric Sounding UnitSTEStratosphere-Troposphere ExchangeSW/OOGUStratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	SEVIRI       Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager         SH       Southern Hemisphere         SPARC       Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate         S-RIP       SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	SDI	SPARC Data Initiative
SH       Southern Hemisphere         SPARC       Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate         S-RIP       SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project         SSM/I or SSMI       Special Sensor Microwave Imager         SSU       Stratospheric Sounding Unit         STE       Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange         SWOOGU       Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	SH     Southern Hemisphere       SPARC     Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate       S-RIP     SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	SEVIRI	Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager
SPARC       Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate         S-RIP       SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project         SSM/I or SSMI       Special Sensor Microwave Imager         SSU       Stratospheric Sounding Unit         STE       Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange         SW/OOGU       Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	SPARC     Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate       S-RIP     SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	SH	Southern Hemisphere
S-RIP       SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project         SSM/I or SSMI       Special Sensor Microwave Imager         SSU       Stratospheric Sounding Unit         STE       Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange         SWOOGU       Stratosphere of QCOme Schellite Upercensional	S-RIP SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project	SPARC	Stratosphere-troposphere Processes And their Role in Climate
SSM/I or SSMI     Special Sensor Microwave Imager       SSU     Stratospheric Sounding Unit       STE     Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange       SWOOSU     Stratosphere of QCOme Schellite Upgreenering descention		S-RIP	SPARC Reanalysis Intercomparison Project
SSU     Stratospheric Sounding Unit       STE     Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange       SWOOGU     Stratospherei Weter en d Or Ore Stabilite Usersensiged	SSM/I or SSMI Special Sensor Microwave Imager	SSM/I or SSMI	Special Sensor Microwave Imager
STE Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	SSU Stratospheric Sounding Unit	SSU	Stratospheric Sounding Unit
	STE Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange	STE	Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange
SWOUSH Stratospheric water and UzUne Satellite Homodenized	SWOOSH Stratospheric Water and OzOne Satellite Homogenized	SWOOSH	Stratospheric Water and OzOne Satellite Homogenized
	TCWV Total Column Water Vapour	TCWV	Total Column Water Vapour

TCO	Total Column Ozone
TIROS	Television Infrared Observation Satellite
ТМІ	Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Microwave Imager
TOMS	Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer
TOVS	TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder
TTL	Tropical tropopause layer
UARS	Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite
UTLS	Upper Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere
UV	Ultraviolet
VTPR	Vertical Temperature Profile Radiometer
WV	Water Vapour